MEETING STATE OF CALIFORNIA LANDS COMMISSION

CITY OF PALM SPRINGS

COUNCIL CHAMBER, CITY HALL

3200 EAST TAHQUITZ CANYON WAY

PALM SPRINGS, CALIFORNIA

THURSDAY, APRIL 19, 2018 1:05 P.M.

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER LICENSE NUMBER 10063

APPEARANCES

COMMISSION MEMBERS:

Ms. Betty T. Yee, State Controller, Chairperson

Mr. Gavin Newsom, Lieutenant Governor, also represented by Mr. Rhys Williams

Mr. Michael Cohen, Director of Department of Finance, represented by Ms. Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez

STAFF:

Ms. Jennifer Lucchesi, Executive Officer

Mr. Mark Meier, Chief Counsel

Mr. Reid Boggiano, Public Land Management Specialist, External Affairs Division

Ms. Kim Lunetta, Administrative Assistant

Ms. Jennifer Mattox, Science Policy Advisor/Tribal Liaison

Ms. Shahed Meshkati, Planning & Development Manager, Mineral Resources and Management Division

Mr. Greg Pelka, Senior Resources Mineral Engineer, Mineral Resources and Management Division

Ms. Sheri Pemberton, Chief, External Affairs and Legislative Liaison

ATTORNEY GENERAL:

Mr. Andrew Vogel, Deputy Attorney General

ALSO PRESENT:

Mr. Jack Bair, San Francisco Giants

Ms. Rebecca Benassini, Port of San Francisco

APPEARANCES CONTINUED

ALSO PRESENT:

- Mr. John Hernandez
- Ms. Christy Holstege, Council Member, City of Palm Springs
- Mr. Jed Humphries, Redwood Creek Association
- Mr. Geoff Kors, Council Member, City of Palm Springs
- Mr. Humberto Lugo, Environmental Justice Task Force Coachella
- Ms. Alison Madden, Docktown, San Francisco Bay Marinas
- Ms. Lisa Middleton, Council Member, City of Palm Springs
- Mayor Robert Moon, City of Palm Springs
- Ms. Angela Mooney D'Arcy, Sacred Places Institute for Indigenous People
- Ms. Luis Olmedo, Comite Civico del Valley, Inc.
- Mr. Pat Perez, California Department of Conservation
- Mr. Carlos Pineda
- Mr. T. Santora, Commissioner, Palm Springs Sustainability Commission
- Ms. Rebecca Zaragoza, Leadership Counsel for Justice and Accountability

INDEX

		PAGE
I	1:00 P.M OPEN SESSION	1
II	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES FOR THE MEETING OF FEBRUARY 27, 2018	4
III	EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S REPORT	5
	Continuation of Rent Actions to be taken by the	

- Executive Officer pursuant to the Commission's Delegation of Authority:
- Donna L. Benner, Trustee of the Ramseier-Benner Family Trust dated February 2, 1990; and Donna L. Benner, Trustee of the Donna L. Benner Qualified Personal Residence Trust Agreement dated January 17, 2000 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$1,143 per year for a General Lease Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 5310 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County. (PRC 2457.1)
- Steven Codog (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$248 per year for a General Lease Recreational Use located on sovereign land in the Calavera River, adjacent to 2725 Calariva Drive, near Stockton, San Joaquin County. (PRC 7015.1)
- Dill Corporation, a California Corporation (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$754 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 652 Olympic Drive, near Tahoe City, Placer County. (PRC 5580.1)
- Christian P. Erdman; and Carol Franc Buck, as Trustee under the Carol Franc Buck Family Trust Agreement (As Restated) dated August 25, 2004 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$377 per year for a General Lease Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, Placer County, adjacent to and offshore of an upland property located within the State of Nevada known as 53 Somers Loop, Washoe County at the State line. (PRC 9047.1)

- Joshua R. Floum and Margaret R. O'Donnell (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$754 per year for a General Lease Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 3328 Edgewater Drive, near Tahoe City, Placer County. (PRC 8401.1)
- Walter W. Frese and Wendy T. Frese, Trustees of the Frese Family Trust of 1996 U.D.T. dated July 16, 1996 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$754 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 130 Sierra Terrace Road, near Tahoe City, Placer County. (PRC 8444.1)
- Lawrence Joseph Gannon and Patricia Anne Gannon, Trustees of the Gannon Family Trust Declaration of Living Trust dated December 9, 2005 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$1,178 per year for a General Lease Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8754 Brockway Vista Avenue, near Kings Beach, Placer County. (PRC 5624.1)
- Jean M. Gomez (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$191 per year for a General Lease Recreational Use located on sovereign land in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 17210 Sherman Island East Levee Road, near the city of Rio Vista, Sacramento County. (PRC 8468.1)
- William Howard and Diane B. Howard (Lessee):
 Continuation of annual rent at \$269 per year for
 a General Lease Recreational Use located on
 sovereign land in the Sacramento River, adjacent
 to 6622 Benham Way, city of Sacramento,
 Sacramento County. (PRC 7848.1)
- James Hunter and Tyree T. Hunter, Trustees, or their successors in trust, under the J. and T. Hunter Family Trust, dated July 12, 1990, and any amendments thereto (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$208 per year for a General Lease Recreational and Protectice Structure Use located on sovereign land in the Colorado River, city of Needles, San Bernardino County. (PRC 9061.1)

- Manuel C. Jardin and Gail A. Jardin, Trustees of the Jardin Family Trust dated May 13, 2005 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$194 per year for a General Lease Recreational Use located on sovereign land in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 4233 Garden Highway, near the city of Sacramento, Sacramento County. (PRC 9045.1)
- John Lee, Trustee of the John Lee Family Trust dated November 18, 2011 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$754 per year for a General Lease Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 3420 Edgewater Drive, near Tahoe Vista, Placer County. (PRC 8963.1)
- Robert DeVall May and Judith Thompson May, Trustees of The May Family Trust as Amended and Restated in 2001, u/a dated November 5, 2001 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$377 per year for a General Lease Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 1406 West Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County.(PRC 8332.1)
- Peter Vincent McNally (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$160 per year for a General Lease Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Georgiana Slough, adjacent to 421 West Willow Tree Lane, near the city of Isleton, Sacramento County. (PRC 7737.1)
- Alvina Patterson, as Trustee of the Alvina
 Patterson Family Trust dated February 10, 1990
 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$825 per
 year for a General Lease Recreational Use
 located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent
 to 7276 North Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe Vista,
 Placer County. (PRC 5675.1)
- Sanjay Srivastava (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$377 per year for a General Lease
 Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4830 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County. (PRC 8400.1)

- Spalding Community Service District (Lessee):
 Continuation of minimum annual rent at \$600 per
 year for a General Lease Commercial Use located
 on sovereign land in Eagle Lake, adjacent to
 Assessor's Parcel Number 077-030-09, near
 Susanville, Lassen County. (PRC 8155.1)
- Mark C. Stevenson and Raquel A. Stevenson, as Co-Trustees of the Stevenson Family Trust, dated November 7, 2005 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$505 per year for a General Lease Recreational Use located on sovereign land in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 7829 Garden Highway, near Verona, Sutter County. (PRC 7649.1)
- Jeffrey Todd Stone, Trustee of the Ray Stone Tahoe Residential Trust, dated May 18, 1999, and Trustee of the Mary Lou Stone Tahoe Residential Trust dated May 18, 1999 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$754 per year for a General Lease Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4880 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County. (PRC 8357.1)
- John Stumpf and Ruth Stumpf, Trustees of the Stumpf Family Trust (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$2,210 per year for a General Lease Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 1870 North Lake Boulevard, Tahoe City, Placer County. (PRC 4066.1)
- Tahoe Gravity Research Institute, LLC, a California Limited Liability Company (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$754 per year for a General Lease Recreational Use located on sovereign land in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 3374 Edgewater Drive, near Tahoe City, Placer County. (PRC 8269.1)
- Steven K. Yokoi and Beverly J. Yokoi, Trustees of the Yokoi Revocable Living Trust Dated July 14, 2011 (Lessee): Continuation of annual rent at \$201 per year for a General Lease - Recreational Use located on sovereign land in the Sacramento

River, adjacent to 907 Piedmont Drive, near the city of Sacramento, Sacramento County. (PRC 4616.1)

IV CONSENT CALENDAR C01-C100

10

THE FOLLOWING ITEMS ARE CONSIDERED TO BE NONCONTROVERSIAL AND ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AT ANY TIME UP TO THE DATE OF THE MEETING.

LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION

NORTHERN REGION

C01 J. EDISON CORPORATION, A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8217 Meeks Bay Avenue, near Meeks Bay, El Dorado County; for an existing pier and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 3602.1; RA# 13717) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

CO2 DOUGLAS F. BUSCH AND JULIE M. BUSCH, TRUSTEES OF THE BUSCH FAMILY LIVING TRUST, DATED APRIL 1, 2000 (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8321 Meeks Bay Avenue, near Meeks Bay, El Dorado County; for an existing pier, boat lift, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 7513.1; RA# 08217) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

CO3 KENT R. BOURQUIN, SUCESSOR TRUSTEE OF THE BOURQUIN FAMILY TRUST DATED MAY 7, 1998 (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 7565.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Donner Lake, adjacent to 13930 South Shore Drive, near Truckee, Nevada County; for an existing pier and portion of an existing boat lift. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 7565.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

CO4 KURT A. LATTA, AS TRUSTEE OF THE LATTA TRUST UNDER INSTRUMENT DATED MARCH 21, 1990 (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease -

Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 3338 Edgewater Drive, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for an existing pier, boat lift, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 5469.1; RA# 31716) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

CO5 DAN E. LITTRELL AND NANCY E. LITTRELL, TRUSTEES OF THE LITTRELL FAMILY TRUST DATED NOVEMBER 7, 1990 (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 5428 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for an existing pier, sundeck with stairs, boat lift, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 3538.1; RA# 29016) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

C06 DANIEL LEE OHLSON AND SUSAN OHLSON, AS TRUSTEES OF THE 1985 OHLSON LIVING TRUST DATED SEPTEMBER 30, 1985; DANIEL L. OHLSON, TRUSTEE OF THE DANIEL L. OHLSON QUALIFIED PERSONAL RESIDENCE TRUST UNDER AN AGREEMENT DATED MAY 5, 2010; SUSAN L. OHLSON, TRUSTEE OF THE SUSAN L. OHLSON QUALIFIED PERSONAL RESIDENCE TRUST UNDER AN AGREEMENT DATED MAY 5, 2010; DAVID A. OHLSON, AND BETTY M. OHLSON, AS TRUSTEES FOR THE OHLSON FAMILY TRUST, DATED SEPTEMBER 15, 1999, AS AMENDED AND RESTATED IN 2014; HENRY P. C. CULP AND SUSAN OHLSON CULP, TRUSTEES OF THE 1998 HENRY P. C. CULP AND SUSAN OHLSON CULP FAMILY TRUST AS AMENDED AND RESTATED IN 2015; AND KRISTIN OHLSON, TRUSTEE OF THE KRISTIN OHLSON TRUST DATED AUGUST 20, 2008; BARBARA WOODS HEARD, TRUSTEE OF THE 2010 AMENDED AND RESTATED BARBARA WOODS HEARD REVOCABLE TRUST U/D/T DATED JUNE 10, 2010; LAUREN H. POAGE, TRUSTEE OF THE LAUREN H. POAGE LIVING TRUST DATED MARCH 12, 2015; KATHERINE M. LANDERS; DAVID B. HEARD; AND DWIGHT B. HEARD INVESTMENT COMPANY, A CORPORATION (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease -Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 5520 and 5540 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County; for an existing joint-use pier, boathouse with sundeck, two boat lifts, and three mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4409.1; RA# 02717) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

- CO7 NEWPORT FEDERAL, A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 741 Lakeview Avenue, near South Lake Tahoe, El Dorado County; for one existing mooring buoy. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 5013.1; RA# 07317) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)
- CO8 SNOW WATER LP, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 1300 West Lake Boulevard, Tahoe City, Placer County; for an existing pier, boat hoist, boat slip, and sundeck with stairs. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 3705.1; RA# 20416) (A 1; S 1)(Staff: S. Avila)
- CO9 SHIRLEY KEARN, ROBERT LOUIS KEARN, AND JANET JEAN KEARN (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 2210 North Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for an existing pier, boat lift, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 7139.1; RA# 27016) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)
- C10 KJELL H. QVALE, TRUSTEE OF THE KJELL H. QVALE SURVIVOR'S TRUST AND KJELL H. QVALE, AS TRUSTEE OF THE KATHRYN C. QVALE NONEXEMPT MARITAL TRUST DATED JANUARY 31, 2000 (LESSEE); EARL L. SKIDMORE, TRUSTEE OF THE EARL L. SKIDMORE SURVIVOR TRUST (APPLICANT): Consider termination of Lease No. PRC 4317.9, a General Lease Recreational Use; and an application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4410 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for an existing pier, boat lift, and one mooring buoy. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4317.1; RA# 06117) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)
- C11 ARTHUR L. ANDERSON AND DONNA S. ANDERSON, CO-TRUSTEES OF THE ANDERSON FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST DATED 11-07-05 (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8655 Beach

Lane, near Meeks Bay, El Dorado County; for an existing pier, boathouse, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4486.1; RA# 05017) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)

- C12 RICHARD M. STOUT AND SUSANNE S. STOUT, TRUSTEES OF THE RICHARD AND SUSANNE STOUT FAMILY TRUST DATED NOVEMBER 24, 2004 (LESSEE); GBRE NORTH LAKE BOULEVARD, LLC, A GEORGIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider termination of Lease No. PRC 8265.9, a Recreational Pier Lease, and an application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 1830 North Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for two existing mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8265.1; RA# 10617) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)
- C13 BRIAN J. MCCULLOUGH (LESSEE); INDIKA CHARLES MENDIS AND QUANG NHAN TIN LAM (APPLICANT): Consider waiver of rent, penalty, and interest; acceptance of a quitclaim deed for Lease No. PRC 9080.1, a General Lease Recreational Use; and an application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Donner Lake, adjacent to 14976 South Shore Drive, near Truckee, Nevada County; for an existing pier. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 9080.1; RA# 09917) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Avila)
- C14 BABBAGE, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY: Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 1530 North Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for the removal, reconstruction, and expansion of an existing pier, installation of a boat lift, and removal of one existing mooring buoy and continued use and maintenance of one existing mooring buoy. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8728.1; RA# 10117) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M.J. Columbus)
- C15 GERALD E. JOHNSTON AND CYNTHIA M. JOHNSTON, TRUSTEES OF THE GERALD E. JOHNSTON AND CYNTHIA M. JOHNSTON TRUST, UNDER THE DECLARATION OF TRUST DATED APRIL 26, 2002; CYRUS A. JOHNSON, SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE UNDER REVOCABLE TRUST DATED JANUARY 10, 1989; STEPHEN

N. GRAY AND CAROLE J. GRAY, CO-TRUSTEES OF THE GRAY FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST DATED AUGUST 7, 1999, AND WILLIAM E. LANDIS AND JULIE H. LANDIS, CO-TRUSTEES OF THE LANDIS FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST OF 1999 (LESSEE) GERALD E. JOHNSTON AND CYNTHIA M. JOHNSTON, TRUSTEES OF THE GERALD E. JOHNSTON AND CYNTHIA M. JOHNSTON TRUST, UNDER THE DECLARATION OF TRUST DATED APRIL 26, 2002; CYRUS A. JOHNSON, TRUSTEE OF THE JOHNSON FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST OF 1989; CAROLE J. GRAY, (FORMERLY CAROLE E. JOHNSON) AND STEPHEN N. GRAY, CO-TRUSTEES OF THE GRAY FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST DATED AUGUST 7, 1999; JULIE LANDIS, TRUSTEE OF THE JULIE LANDIS REVOCABLE TRUST OF 2015 (APPLICANT): Consider acceptance of a lease quitclaim deed for Lease No. PRC 5120.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, and an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 5020 and 5040 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County; for the removal and reconstruction of an existing joint-use pier and continued use and maintenance of four existing mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 5120.1; RA# 12017) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M.J. Columbus)

C16 BIG WATER VIEW, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (LESSEE), THE SOCOTRA FUND, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (SECURED-PARTY LENDER): Consider application for the Agreement and Consent to Encumbrancing of Lease No. PRC 5739.1, a General Lease - Commercial Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 7220 North Lake Boulevard and Assessor's Parcel Number 117-110-069, Tahoe Vista, Placer County; for an existing commercial marina known as Tahoe Vista Inn and Marina. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 5739.1; RA# 17317) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M.J. Columbus)

C17 RONALD D. STEPHENS AND KATHLEEN W. STEPHENS (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8539 Meeks Bay Avenue, near Meeks Bay, El Dorado County; for an existing pier and one mooring buoy previously authorized by the Commission and one mooring buoy not authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 837.1; RA# 02817) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: M.J. Columbus)

- C18 FRED GELLERT, JR. AND ANNETTE GELLERT, AS TRUSTEES OF THE GELLERT FAMILY TRUST DATED NOVEMBER 8, 1991 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 6300 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County; for an existing pier, two tandem boat lifts, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 3019.1; RA# 01717) (A 1; S 1)(Staff: M.J. Columbus)
- C19 DAVID M. DEVOE AND SUSAN M. DEVOE, CO-TRUSTEES OF THE DEVOE FAMILY TRUST; JAY J. DEVOE; JANISE J. DEVOE; STEVEN C. CORNELIUSEN AND GEORGIA F. CORNELIUSEN, TRUSTEES OF THE CORNELIUSEN FAMILY TRUST DATED DECEMBER 7, 1989; GEORGIA F. CORNELIUSEN, SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE OF THE ADA M. TORRIGINO TRUST DATED JUNE 5, 1985; AND MICHAEL C. DERMODY AND TAMARA DERMODY, TRUSTEES OF THE TAHOE WATER WORLD FAMILY TRUST (U/D/T: AUGUST 8, 2007) (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8732, 8734, and 8740 Brockway Vista Avenue, Kings Beach, Placer County; for an existing joint-use pier, three boat lifts, and three mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 6428.1; RA# 00317) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M.J. Columbus)
- C20 FRED GELLERT, JR. AND ANNETTE GELLERT, TRUSTEES OF THE RYAN BRADLEY GELLERT GST TRUST DATED MAY 30, 2012; FRED GELLERT, JR. AND ANNETTE GELLERT, TRUSTEES OF THE LANDON TYLER GELLERT GST TRUST DATED OCTOBER 19, 2012; AND FRED GELLERT, JR. AND ANNETTE GELLERT, TRUSTEES OF THE HEATHER GIGI GELLERT GST DATED OCTOBER 19, 2012 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 6330 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County; for two existing mooring buoys not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 27129; RA# 01617) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M.J. Columbus)
- C21 KAREN KATHERINE OLIN AND ROBERT LAWRENCE OLIN, TRUSTEES OF THE 1992 L. OLIN FAMILY TRUST DATED 3/23/1992; AND MARCUS MONTE, TRUSTEE OF THE MARCUS MONTE LIVING TRUST DATED APRIL 23, 2002 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease -

PAGE

Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 6085 and 6100 North Lake Boulevard, Tahoe Vista, Placer County; for an existing joint-use pier with boat lift, boathouse with boat lift, and one mooring buoy. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4286.1; RA# 26916) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: K. Connor)

- C22 GRAYLE TULLY JAMES AND GWYN-MOHR TULLY (LESSEE): Consider amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 8421.1, a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe adjacent to 8507 and 8511 Meeks Bay Avenue, near Meeks Bay, El Dorado County; for an existing pier, boat lift, and four mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 8421.1) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: L. Pino)
- C23 SIMONE HOTALING HOAG, AS TRUSTEE OF THE NONEXEMPT TRUST B UNDER THE CARL L. HOAG, JR. AND SIMONE HOTALING HOAG REVOCABLE TRUST DATED NOVEMBER 7, 1990; SIMONE HOTALING HOAG, AS TRUSTEE OF TRUST C UNDER THE CARL L. HOAG, JR. AND SIMONE HOTALING HOAG REVOCABLE TRUST DATED NOVEMBER 7, 1990; SIMONE HOTALING HOAG, AS TRUSTEE OF THE SIMONE HOTALING HOAG REVOCABLE TRUST DATED JUNE 1, 1992; SIMONE H. HOAG, AS TRUSTEE OF THE SIMONE H. HOAG TAHOE RESIDENCE TRUST A DATED SEPTEMBER 3, 2010; SIMONE H. HOAG, AS TRUSTEE OF THE SIMONE H. HOAG TAHOE RESIDENCE TRUST B DATED SEPTEMBER 3, 2010; SIMONE H. HOAG, AS TRUSTEE OF THE SIMONE H. HOAG TAHOE RESIDENCE TRUST C DATED SEPTEMBER 3, 2010; AND SPIRIT OF TAHOE, LLC, A DELAWARE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 3678.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe adjacent to 1324 and 1330 West Lake Boulevard, Tahoe City, Placer County; for an existing joint-use pier with two boathouses, three boat lifts, sundeck with stairs, and four mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 3678.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: L. Pino)
- C24 400 CONVENTION WAY, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4886 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for two existing mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8586.1; RA# 01111) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M. Schroeder)

C25 LARRY A. ABRAMSON AND JULIE C. ABRAMSON, TRUSTEES OF THE ABRAMSON TRUST DATED FEBRUARY 9, 1999 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4540 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for an existing pier previously authorized by the Commission and two existing mooring buoys not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4169.1; RA# 13413) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M. Schroeder)

C26 ALLEN L. CAPURRO AND CAROL J. CAPURRO, TRUSTEES OF THE ALLEN CAPURRO FAMILY 1996 TRUST, DATED AUGUST 30, 1996, AND BRIAN D. MURPHY AND SUZANNE M. MURPHY, TRUSTEES OF THE MURPHY FAMILY TRUST, DATED DECEMBER 17, 2003 (LESSEE); BEARSLIDE LAKE TAHOE, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider acceptance of a quitclaim deed for Lease No. PRC 4857.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use; and an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4480 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for an existing pier, boathouse, boat hoist, sundeck with stairs, and one mooring buoy previously authorized by the Commission, and one mooring buoy not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4857.1; RA# 15313) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M. Schroeder)

C27 BOW BAY, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 281 Paradise Flat Lane, near Rubicon Bay, El Dorado County; for two existing mooring buoys not previously authorized by the Commission; and denial for one existing mooring buoy not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: lease - categorical exemption; denial - statutory exemption. (W 24815; RA# 35915) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: M. Schroeder)

C28 SACRAMENTO YACHT CLUB (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Commercial Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 3365 South River Road, near West

Sacramento, Yolo County; for an existing private yacht club, known as the Sacramento Yacht Club. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 5512.1; RA# 19515) (A 7; S 6) (Staff: M. Schroeder)

C29 ELKHORN FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT (APPLICANT):
Consider application for a General Lease - Public
Agency Use, of sovereign land located within
Assessor's Parcel Number 042-310-004, adjacent to the
Sacramento River at 19759 Old River Road, near West
Sacramento, Yolo County; for an existing storage
building, one storage container for fire and flood
fighting equipment, and a perimeter fence previously
authorized by the Commission and one existing storage
container for additional storage of fire and flood
fighting equipment and two restrooms not previously
authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration:
categorical exemption. (PRC 8029.9; RA# 06617) (A 4; S
3) (Staff: M. Schroeder)

C30 U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE (APPLICANT):
Consider application for a General Lease - Public
Agency Use, of sovereign land located in the Yuba
River, adjacent to Yuba Goldfields, near Marysville,
Yuba County; for the placement and maintenance of
gravel for the rehabilitation and restoration of
Central Valley Chinook salmon and steelhead spawning
and rearing habitat. CEQA Consideration: Mitigated
Negative Declaration, adopted by the Yuba County
Community Development and Services Agency, State
Clearinghouse No. 2017102054, and adoption of a
Mitigation Monitoring Program. (W 27157; RA# 13917) (A
3; S 4) (Staff: M. Schroeder)

C31 LAKE TAHOE CRUISES, LLC (LESSEE); FRED & GINGER, INC. DBA TAHOE CRUISES (APPLICANT): Consider acceptance of a quitclaim deed for Lease No. PRC 8705.1, a General Lease - Commercial Use; and an application for a General Lease - Commercial Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 900 Ski Run Boulevard, South Lake Tahoe, and various locations around Lake Tahoe, El Dorado and Placer Counties; for the commercial operation, maintenance, and overnight berthing of two passenger vessels that operate from Ski Run Marina and dock at various locations around Lake Tahoe. CEQA Consideration:

categorical exemption. (PRC 8705.1; RA# 24916) (A 1,5; S 1) (Staff: M. Schroeder)

- C32 RAY MAYER AND ROBIN MAYER (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 2336.1, a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 6730 Powder Horn Lane, near Tahoma, Placer County; for an existing pier and one mooring buoy. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 2336.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: D. Simpkin)
- C33 JOHN B. LOVEWELL AND CYNTHIA B. LOVEWELL, CO-TRUSTEES OF THE LOVEWELL 2006 IRREVOCABLE TRUST FBO JAMES BARRY LOVEWELL DATED JUNE 21, 2006, AND THE LOVEWELL 2006 IRREVOCABLE TRUST FBO JENNIFER HILARY LOVEWELL DATED JUNE 21, 2006 (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 4141.1, a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 1406 West Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for an existing pier, boat lift, and one mooring buoy. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 4141.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: D. Simpkin)
- C34 FRANK CHIU-NG TSANG AND JUDY MING-MING SZE TSANG AS CO-TRUSTEES OF THE FRANK CHIU-NG TSANG AND JUDY MING-MING SZE TSANG 2003 TRUST ESTABLISHED MAY 5, 2003 (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 8452.1, a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Petaluma River, adjacent to 6300 Lakeville Highway, near Petaluma, Sonoma County; for an existing pier, boat dock, and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 8452.1) (A 10; S 3) (Staff: J. Toy)
- C35 WELLS FARGO BANK N.A., TRUSTEE OF THE FREDERICK TAYLOR TESTAMENTARY TRUST (LESSEE); ROBERT L. DUMAS AND SABRINA E. DUMAS, TRUSTEES OF THE DUMAS FAMILY TRUST DATED DECEMBER 2, 2015 (APPLICANT): Consider acceptance of a lease quitclaim deed for Lease No. PRC 5557.1, a General Lease Recreational Use, and an application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4500 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for an existing pier and two mooring buoys.

- CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 5557.1; RA# 10217) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)
- C36 MICHAEL C. BAILEY (ASSIGNOR); NESSEBAR HOLDINGS II, LLC (ASSIGNEE): Consider continuation of rent and an application for an assignment of Lease No. PRC 8440.1, a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4950 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for two existing mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 8440.1; RA# 04917) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)
- C37 PHILIP GROSSO AND GLORIA GROSSO (APPLICANT):
 Consider an application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake
 Tahoe, adjacent to 8807 Rubicon Drive, near Rubicon
 Bay, El Dorado County; for two existing mooring buoys.
 CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC
 8051.1; RA# 11617) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)
- C38 SCANDIA REALTY GROUP, LLLP, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8399 Meeks Bay Avenue, near Meeks Bay, El Dorado County; for one existing mooring buoy previously authorized by the Commission and one existing mooring buoy not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 5553.1; RA# 12217) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)
- C39 DENIZ TUNCER AS TRUSTEE, OR ANY SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE(S), OF THE CRESSMAN/TUNCER FAMILY TRUST, UNDER DECLARATION OF TRUST DATED JANUARY 25, 2006; AND AYSHE TUNCER, AS TRUSTEE, OR ANY SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE(S) OF THE TUNCER ANDERSON REVOCABLE TRUST, UNDER DECLARATION OF TRUST DATED MAY 20, 2011 (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 8979.1, a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 3170 Edgewater Drive, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 8979.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

INDEX CONTINUED

C40 PATRICIA MOZART, TRUSTEE OF THE PATRICIA MOZART TRUST AGREEMENT UTA DATED DECEMBER 11, 1995 (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 6525.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 6350 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County; for an existing pier, boathouse with boat lift, sundeck with stairs, and three mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 6525.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

- C41 TONOPALO PRIVATE RESIDENCE CLUB OWNERS ASSOCIATION, INC. (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 8439.1, a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Number 117-240-022, near Tahoe Vista, Placer County; for an existing pier and 12 mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 8439.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)
- C42 GORDON PROPERTIES, L.P., A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 8448.1, a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4550 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for an existing pier, boat lift, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 8448.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)
- C43 LAWRENCE B. LEVY AND HILLARY B. LEVY, TRUSTEES OF THE H&L TRUST UDT DATED APRIL 8, 1996 (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 2796 Aqua Drive, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for two existing mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8311.1; RA# 04417) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)
- C44 NORMAN W. SCHLINGER, TRUSTEE OF THE NORMAN WARREN SCHLINGER LIVING TRUST, DATED APRIL 17, 1995 (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 4878.1, a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 5298 North Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for an existing pier, open-sided boathouse

with boat lift, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 4878.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

C45 DAVID B. COWARD, TRUSTEE OF THE DAVID B. COWARD QUALIFIED PERSONAL RESIDENCE TRUST, DATED 03-19-2004, AND LINDA J. COWARD, TRUSTEE OF THE LINDA J. COWARD QUALIFIED PERSONAL RESIDENCE TRUST, DATED 03-19-2004 (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8189 Meeks Bay Avenue, near Meeks Bay, El Dorado County; for two existing mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8027.1; RA# 05217) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

C46 SCOTT G. DOUGLASS; GORDON DOUGLASS AND SUSAN DOUGLASS, TRUSTEES OF THE GORDON AND SUSAN DOUGLASS FAMILY 1983 REVOCABLE TRUST DATED AUGUST 2, 1983; AND LISA S. DOUGLASS (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 5622.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8425 Meeks Bay Avenue, near Meeks Bay, El Dorado County; for an existing pier and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 5622.1) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

C47 THOMAS W. BERRY AND THERESA A. BERRY (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 7554.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4040 North Lake Boulevard, near Cedar Flat, Placer County; for two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 7554.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

C48 MACBRIDE TAHOE MANAGEMENT, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 3222.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 3785 Belleview Avenue, near Homewood, Placer County; for an existing pier and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 3222.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

- C49 DONALD E. BISCHOFF AND JUNE E. BISCHOFF, TRUSTEES, OR THE SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE, UNDER THE DONALD E. AND JUNE E. BISCHOFF REVOCABLE INTER VIVOS TRUST DATED 9/30/82 AND AMENDED 3/25/91 (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 8318.1, a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 1510 North Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for an existing pier, boat lift, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 8318.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)
- C50 HARRY BOYAJIAN, JR. (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4720 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County; for an existing pier, boathouse, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 6387.1; RA# 25416) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)
- C51 MARIE C. STRAUBE FORMERLY KNOWN AS MARIE ANTOINETTE CLOUGH, AS TRUSTEE OF THE MARIE ANTOINETTE CLOUGH REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST, DATED JANUARY 11, 1989; AAM J. LANDSDORF, TRUSTEE OF THE ADAM JAY LANDSDORF REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST, DATED JULY 29, 2004; DANA R. STONE, TRUSTEE OF THE DANA RAE STONE REVOCABLE TRUST, DATED JULY 30, 2004 (LESSEE); ADAM J. LANDSDORF, TRUSTEE OF THE ADAM JAY LANDSDORF REVOCABLE TRUST, DATED JULY 29, 2004; AND DANA R. STONE, TRUSTEE OF THE DANA R. STONE REVOCABLE TRUST, DATED JUNE 20, 2013 (APPLICANT): Consider acceptance of a lease quitclaim deed for Lease No. PRC 8164.1, a General Lease -Recreational Use, and an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8765 Rubicon Drive, near Rubicon Bay, El Dorado County; for an existing pier, boat lift, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8164.1; RA# 07817) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)
- C52 PATRICIA BORHANI, TRUSTEE OF THE PATRICIA BORHANI 1997 TRUST DATED JUNE 18, 1997 (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4070 North Lake Boulevard, near Carnelian Bay, Placer County; for one existing mooring buoy. CEQA

Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4114.1; RA# 07217) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: J. Toy)

BAY/DELTA REGION

- C53 TERENCE J. MULLIGAN AND ELIZABETH M. MULLIGAN, AS TRUSTEES OF THE MULLIGAN FAMILY TRUST DATED AUGUST 29, 1990 (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 10911 River Road, near Hood, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection previously authorized by the Commission, and a dock cover and a boat lift not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8671.1; RA# 13317) (A 9; S 3) (Staff: G. Asimakopoulos)
- C54 BURLINGAME BAY ASSOCIATES (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 4687.1, a General Lease Commercial Use, of filled and unfilled sovereign land located in San Francisco Bay, near Burlingame, San Mateo County; for a restaurant, parking lot, lagoon, footbridge, pedestrian paths, landscaping, and shoreline protection. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 4687.1) (A 22; S 13) (Staff: A. Franzoia)
- C55 SANFORD M. GOLDSTEIN AND CATHY E. RABIN, AS TRUSTEES OF THE GOLDSTEIN-RABIN FAMILY LIVING TRUST AGREEMENT, DATED APRIL 29, 2014 (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 17384 Grand Island Road, near Walnut Grove, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock, fishing pier, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4767.1; RA# 11417) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: J. Holt)
- C56 MICHAEL LESCHINSKI AND IRINA LESCHINSKI, TRUSTEES OF THE LESCHINSKI TRUST DATED JUNE 18, 2015 (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River,

PAGE

adjacent to 3791 Garden Highway, near Sacramento, Sacramento County; for an existing covered boat dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8782.1; RA# 06717) (A 7; S 6) (Staff: J. Holt)

C57 MICHAEL E. O'CONNOR (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Georgiana Slough, adjacent to 14319 River Road, near Walnut Grove, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8756.1; RA# 05317) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: J. Holt)

- C58 DON SPRINGER AND RENE FRAGA SPRINGER (APPLICANT):
 Consider an application for a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of
 sovereign land located in the Sacramento River,
 adjacent to 6931 Garden Highway, near Sacramento,
 Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock,
 appurtenant facilities, and bank protection. CEQA
 Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 7181.1; RA#
 07917) (A 7; S 6) (Staff: J. Holt)
 - C59 JAMES HANLEY AND KATHRYN HANLEY (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 4669.1, a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Calaveras River, adjacent to 4357 Yacht Harbor Drive, near Stockton, San Joaquin County; for an existing boat dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 4669.1) (A 13; S 5) (Staff: J. Holt)
 - C60 THOMAS SANDER AND NANCY R. SANDER (RESCINDING APPLICANT); CORINNA ANN BLEDSOE AND LOREN MICHAEL BLEDSOE (APPLICANT): Consider rescission of approval of Lease No. PRC 8350.1, a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, and an application for a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 14486 State Highway 160, near Walnut Grove, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock with covered boat slip, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8350.1; RA# 03617) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: J. Holt)

- C61 THE ISLAND CLUB, INC., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 9053.1, a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Montezuma Slough adjacent to 3372 Gum Tree Road, near Suisun, Solano County; for a boat dock, appurtenant facilities, security fence, and shed. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 9053.1) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: L. Pino)
- C62 TDB SACRAMENTO DELTA CORPORATION (LESSEE):
 Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 7680.1, a
 General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure
 Use, of sovereign land located in Georgiana Slough,
 adjacent to 16853 Terminous Road, near Isleton,
 Sacramento County; for the continued use and
 maintenance of an existing five-berth covered floating
 boat dock, uncovered floating dock, two personal
 watercraft floats, appurtenant facilities, and bank
 protection. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC
 7680.1) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: D. Simpkin)
- C63 WILLIAM J. KUHNS AND MARGARET G. KUHNS (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 7225.1, a General Lease Recreational Use, sovereign land located in Steamboat Slough, adjacent to 13972 Grand Island Road, near Walnut Grove, Sacramento County; for an existing boat dock and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 7225.1) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: D. Simpkin)
- C64 BRIAN D. BURKE AND KATHY LAMPRECHT (APPLICANT):
 Consider an application for a General Lease Protective Structure Use, of sovereign tide and
 submerged land located in the Pacific Ocean, adjacent
 to 4630 Opal Cliff Drive, near Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz
 County; for an existing cutoff stem wall. CEQA
 Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8795.1; RA#
 13617) (A 29; S 17) (Staff: D. Tutov)
- C65 THE JOHN AND JEAN VILICICH REVOCABLE INTER VIVOS TRUST DATED DECEMBER 1, 2004; FRANCES A. VILICICH; AND EDWARD A. VILICICH AND BERNADETTE C. VILICICH, TRUSTEES OF THE E&B VILICICH FAMILY TRUST (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land in Tomales Bay,

adjacent to 19154 State Route 1, near Marshall, Marin County; for an existing walkway, pier and three pilings, previously authorized by the Commission and the use and maintenance of a pier and platform, not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 5470.1; RA# 25016) (A 10; S 2) (Staff: D. Tutov)

CENTRAL/SOUTHERN REGION

C66 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located in Morro Bay, San Luis Obispo County; for resource protection, conservation, and management of wildlife and marine resources as part of Morro Bay State Marine Reserve, Morro Bay Wildlife Area, and the Morro Bay State Recreational Management Area. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 7629.9; RA# 23116) (A 35; S 17) (Staff: R. Collins)

C67 NORTH COUNTY TRANSIT DISTRICT (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located in Batiquitos Lagoon, Carlsbad, San Diego County; for the construction, use, and maintenance of a buried armored revetment. CEQA Consideration: statutory exemption. (W 26644; RA# 30916) (A 76; S 36) (Staff: R. Collins)

C68 CITY OF SANGER (APPLICANT): Consider rescission of approval; and issuance of a General Lease - Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located in the Kings River, 0.5 mile north of the Goodfellow Bridge, Sanger, Fresno County; for the construction, use, and maintenance of a non-motorized boat launching ramp; and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: Mitigated Negative Declaration, adopted by the City of Sanger, State Clearinghouse No. 2016061045, and adoption of a Mitigation Monitoring Program. (W 27112; PRC 9431.9; RA# 27416) (A 31; S 14) (Staff: K. Connor)

C69 IDA AGNIFILI ZABY, TRUSTEE OF THE I. AGNIFILI TRUST, DATED APRIL 29, 2008 (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 7986.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in The Main Channel of Huntington Harbour, adjacent to

3632 Venture Drive, Huntington Beach, Orange County; for an existing boat dock, access ramp, and cantilevered deck. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 7986.1) (A 72; S 34) (Staff: K. Connor)

C70 LARRY L. LARGE (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 9059.1, a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Colorado River, adjacent to 1126 Beach Drive, near Needles, San Bernardino County; for riprap bankline, concrete stairs, rock walls, stacked block retaining wall, concrete patio with railing, and appurtenances. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 9059.1) (A 33; S 16) (Staff: K. Connor)

C71 MICHAEL V. HAMMILL AND KIM E. HAMMILL (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 9060.1, a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in Colorado River, adjacent to 1130 Beach Drive, near Needles, San Bernardino County; for riprap bankline, concrete stairs, rock walls, concrete patio, and rock retaining wall. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 9060.1) (A 33; S 16) (Staff: K. Connor)

C72 PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (LESSEE):
Consider an application for an amendment to Lease No.
PRC 9105.9, a General Lease - Protective Structure
Use, of sovereign land located in the intake cove,
Diablo Canyon Power Plant near Avila Beach, San Luis
Obispo County; to extend the lease term to allow
periodic deployment of the salp bubble curtain. CEQA
Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 9105.9; RA#
09617) (A 35; S 17) (Staff: C. Hudson)

C73 RONALD J. SANDERS AND MELISSA P. SANDERS (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 8126.1, a General Lease - Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Pacific Ocean adjacent to 3398 Pacific Coast Highway, near San Buenaventura, Ventura County; for an existing seawall with wave deflection cap. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 8126.1) (A 37; S 19) (Staff: L. Pino)

C74 CALIFORNIA GAS GATHERING, INC. (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 7681.1, a General Lease - Right-of-Way Use, of sovereign land located in the San Joaquin River, near Dos Palos, Fresno and Madera Counties; for an existing natural gas pipeline. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 7681.1) (A 5, 31; S 12) (Staff: L. Pino)

C75 CALIFORNIA AMERICAN WATER COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Right-of-Way Use, of sovereign land located in San Diego Bay, near San Diego and Coronado, San Diego County; for an existing water transmission line. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4223.1; RA# 14217) (A 78; S 39) (Staff: L. Pino)

SCHOOL LANDS

C76 AT&T CORP., A WHOLLY-OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF SBC COMMUNICATIONS, INC. (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 7202.2, a General Lease - Right-of-Way Use, of State-owned school land located within a portion of Section 36, Township 18 North, Range 16 East, MDM, near Truckee, Nevada County; for an existing fiber optic cable. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 7202.2) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: C. Hudson)

C77 BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION (APPLICANT):
Consider rescission of approval of a General Lease Right-of-Way Use, Lease No. PRC 9359.2, of State-owned
school land located within a portion of Section 16
(Lot 4), Township 48 North, Range 6 East, MDM, near
the California-Oregon border, Modoc County; for an
existing unpaved access road. CEQA Consideration: not
a project. (W 26977; PRC 9359.2; RA# 33515) (A 1; S 1)
(Staff: C. Hudson)

C78 BRUBAKER-MANN, INC. (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a General Lease - Right-of-Way Use, of State-owned indemnity school land located in Section 30, Township 10 North, Range 1 East, SBM, northeast of Barstow, San Bernardino County; for an existing unpaved access road. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8462.2; RA# 15617) (A 33; S 16) (Staff: J. Porter)

MINERAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

- C79 ARGUELLO, INC. (APPLICANT): Consider an application for the General Lease Water Injection Lease (Non-Surface Occupancy) on Assessor's Parcel No. 081-130-019, administered by the Commission, containing approximately 2,012.78 acres of State sovereign land, located offshore in the Gaviota oil and gas field, Santa Barbara County. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 7180.1; RA# 03417)(A 37; S 19) (Staff: N. Saito)
- C80 CITY OF LONG BEACH (APPLICANT): Consider approval of subsidence monitoring costs for vertical measurements and studies for the 2018-2019 fiscal year, City of Long Beach, Los Angeles County. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 10443) (A 70; S 33, 34) (Staff: R. B. Greenwood)
- C81 CITY OF LONG BEACH (GRANTEE): Consider acceptance of the Long Beach Unit Annual Plan (July 1, 2018, through June 30, 2019), Long Beach Unit, Wilmington Oil Field, Los Angeles County. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (W 17166) (A 70; S 33, 34) (Staff: E. Tajer, H. Rassamdana)
- C82 CITY OF SACRAMENTO (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a Non-Exclusive Geological Survey Permit on submerged lands located in the Sacramento River, Sacramento and Yolo Counties. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 6005.182; RA# 00917) (A 7; S 6) (Staff: R. B. Greenwood)
- C83 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO (APPLICANT): Consider an application for issuance of a mineral extraction lease for minerals other than oil, gas, or geothermal resources on Assessor's Parcel No. 0552-141-17, administered by the Commission, containing approximately 80 acres of State-owned 100 percent reserved mineral interest, School land, located within the W/2 of the SW/4 of Section 36, T8N, R7E, SBM, about 45 miles east of Barstow, near Ludlow, and immediately north of Interstate 40, San Bernardino County. CEQA Consideration: Mitigated Negative Declaration, adopted by the County of San Bernardino, State Clearinghouse No. 2001071107, and adoption of a

INDEX CONTINUED

PAGE

Mitigation Monitoring Program. (W 40990; RA# 27916) (A 33; S 16) (Staff: V. Perez)

C84 COWI NORTH AMERICA (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a Non-Exclusive Geological Survey Permit on inland submerged and filled lands located on the San Joaquin River, Contra Costa County. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 6005.188; RA# 16717) (A 11; S 7) (Staff: R. B. Greenwood)

MARINE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

C85 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider granting authority to the Executive Officer to enter into an agreement with the University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science to support a study evaluating vessel in-water cleaning and capture technologies to reduce nonindigenous species introduction risk. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 9777.234, W 9777.291, W 9777.295) (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: C. Scianni, D. Cook)

ADMINISTRATION

C86 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider granting authority to the Executive Officer to renew an agreement with University Enterprises, Inc., to procure the services of college students to assist the Commission with various assignments for fiscal year 2018-2019. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: D. Cook, K. Forbes, A. Abeleda)

LEGAL

C87 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION (PARTY): Consider approval of the proposed repeal of California Code of Regulations, Title 2, Division 3, Chapter 1, Articles 7 and 8. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (A & S: Statewide)(Staff: P. Huber, J. Frey)

C88 SILICON VALLEY CLUB L.L.C., AND THE CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION (PARTIES): Consider request for authorization to relocate an access easement and enlarge another easement located in the vicinity of the Guadalupe River in Alviso, Santa Clara County. CEQA Consideration: Environmental Impact Report certified by the City of San Jose, State Clearinghouse

No. 1999082004, Addendum, and statutory exemption. (SLL 53; BLA 224; AD 391; RA# 14817) (A 25; S 10) (Staff: D. Tutov, J. Frey)

C89 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS (PARTY): Consider Cession of Concurrent Criminal Jurisdiction pursuant to California Government Code section 126 over lands at the Los Angeles Ambulatory Care Center, Los Angeles County. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (FJ 0119.19) (A 53; S 24) (Staff: P. Huber)

C90 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS (PARTY): Consider Cession of Concurrent Criminal Jurisdiction pursuant to California Government Code section 126 over lands at the Sepulveda Ambulatory Care Center, Los Angeles County. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (FJ 0119.20) (A 46; S 18) (Staff: P. Huber)

KAPILOFF LAND BANK TRUST ACQUISITIONS - NO ITEMS EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

GRANTED LANDS

C91 CITY OF LONG BEACH (GRANTEE): Review a proposed tideland oil revenue expenditure increase in an amount not to exceed \$32,151,572 by the City of Long Beach for 12 capital improvement projects located on or adjacent to legislatively granted sovereign land in the city of Long Beach, Los Angeles County. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (G 05-03.10) (A 70; S 33) (Staff: M. Moser)

C92 CITY OF ALAMEDA AND THE CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION (PARTIES): Consider the revised phase area boundaries and the hazardous material remediation finding for the phase three closing as required by the Naval Air Station Alameda Title Settlement and Exchange Agreement located within the city of Alameda, Alameda County. CEQA Consideration: not a project; statutory exemption. (AD 617; W 25109; G 01-01) (A 16; S 9) (Staff: R. Boggiano, J. Porter)

C93 SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE OF COMMUNITY INVESTMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO),

CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION, SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE OF ECONOMIC AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT, PORT OF SAN FRANCISCO, AND CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION (PARTIES): Consider approving a deviation from the building height limits in the Hunters Point Shipyard/Candlestick Point Title Settlement, Public Trust Exchange and Boundary Line Agreement, concerning lands within the former Hunters Point Naval Shipyard, City and County of San Francisco. CEQA Consideration: Environmental Impact Report certified by the San Francisco Planning Commission and the Redevelopment Agency Commission, State Clearinghouse No. 2007082168, and Addendum. (AD 557; G 11-00.7) (A 17; S 11) (Staff: R. Boggiano, S. Pemberton, A. Kershen)

FEDERAL PUBLIC LAND CONVEYANCES PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 535 STATUTES OF 2017 (SB 50, ALLEN)

- C94 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider authorizing the issuance of a certificate of compliance for a conveyance of federal public lands, arranged by the Commission, in the city and county of San Francisco. CEQA Consideration: not a project; statutory exemption. (SB 50-18-002) (A 17; S 11) (Staff: P. Huber, A. Kershen)
- C95 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider exercising the right of first refusal for the acquisition of federal public lands, or right to arrange for their transfer to another entity, in the city of Alameda, Alameda County. CEQA Consideration: not a project; statutory exemption. (SB 50-18-003) (A 18; S 9) (Staff: E. Kennedy, P. Huber)
- C96 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider exercising the right of first refusal for the acquisition of federal public lands, or right to arrange for their transfer to another entity, in the city of Alameda, Alameda County. CEQA Consideration: not a project; statutory exemption. (SB 50-18-004) (A 19; S 9) (Staff: E. Kennedy, P. Huber)
- C97 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider authorizing the issuance of a certificate of compliance for an exchange of equal value of private and federal public lands in the city of Redlands, San

Bernardino County. CEQA Consideration: not a project; statutory exemption. (SB 50-18-005) (A 40; S 23) (Staff: E. Kennedy, P. Huber)

C98 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider exercising the right of first refusal for the acquisition of federal public lands, or right to arrange for their transfer to another entity, in the county of Santa Barbara. CEQA Consideration: not a project; statutory exemption. (SB 50-18-006) (A 37; S 19) (Staff: E. Kennedy, P. Huber)

C99 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider exercising the right of first refusal for the acquisition of federal public lands or right to arrange for their transfer to another entity, in the county of Shasta. CEQA Consideration: not a project; statutory exemption. (SB 50-18-007) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: E. Kennedy, P. Huber)

C100 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider exercising the right of first refusal for the acquisition of federal public lands, or right to arrange for their transfer to another entity, in the city of Tustin, Orange County. CEQA Consideration: not a project; statutory exemption. (SB 50-18-008) (A 68; S 37) (Staff: E. Kennedy, P. Huber)

V INFORMATIONAL

101 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Report on the monitoring of possible subsidence, Long Beach Unit, Wilmington Oil Field, Los Angeles County. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (W 10442, W 16001) (A 70; S 33, 34)(Staff: R. B. Greenwood)

102 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Legislative Report providing information and a status update concerning state and federal legislation relevant to the Commission. CEQA Consideration: not applicable. (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: S. Pemberton)

PAGE

11,

39

23

37

VI REGULAR CALENDAR 103-110

103 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION (INFORMATIONAL): Informational report on efforts to overhaul the Commission's environmental justice policy. CEQA Consideration: not applicable. (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: S. Pemberton)

104 SAN FRANCISCO PORT COMMISSION (GRANTEE):
Consider approval of determinations pursuant to
Chapter 529, Statutes of 2016 (AB 2797) regarding
the proposed development of Seawall Lot 337 and
Pier 48, known as the Mission Rock development,
located along 3rd Street between Terry Francois
Boulevard and Mission Rock Street on
legislatively granted lands in the city of San
Francisco, City and County of San Francisco.
CEQA Consideration: not a project. (G 11-01)
(A 17; S 11) (Staff: R. Boggiano)

105 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider supporting state legislation (AB 1097, Levine and SB 836, Glazer) that would prohibit smoking or disposing of used cigarette waste on a state coastal beach. CEQA Consideration: not applicable. (A & S: Statewide)
(Staff: S. Pemberton)

106 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider supporting state legislation (AB 2578, Chiu), that would revise provisions of San Francisco's Infrastructure Financing District to expand the purpose of the district to include shoreline protection, which will address urgent seismic and flood risks posed by the San Francisco Seawall. CEQA Consideration: not applicable. (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: S. Pemberton)

107 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider supporting state legislation (AB 2441, Frazier), that would require the State Lands Commission to deposit rental income from leases in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta into a newly created abandoned vessel removal account and

INDEX CONTINUED PAGE authorize the Commission to use the funding to remove abandoned or derelict commercial vessels in the Delta. CEQA Consideration: not applicable. (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: S. Pemberton) 45 108 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider sponsoring state legislation that would grant in trust to the city of Sacramento title to land associated with the Sacramento Downtown Railyards Title Settlement and Land Exchange Agreement, and that would repeal previous grants made to the city of Sacramento. CEQA Consideration: not applicable. (A & S: Statewide) 47 (Staff: S. Pemberton) 109 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION (INFORMATIONAL): Status Report on the remediation of Abandoned Mine Features located on State school lands under the Commission's jurisdiction. CEQA Consideration: not applicable. (W 40102) (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: G. Pelka, R. Lee) 48 110 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION (INFORMATIONAL): Informational report providing a status update on staff's renewable energy evaluation of lands and resources under the Commission's jurisdiction and the Commission's participation in renewable energy task forces in California. CEQA Consideration: not applicable. (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: J. Mattox, M. Farnum, 61 S. Meshkati) VII PUBLIC COMMENT 86 VIII COMMISSIONERS' COMMENTS 102 ΙX CLOSED SESSION: AT ANY TIME DURING THE MEETING THE COMMISSION MAY MEET IN A SESSION CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC TO CONSIDER THE MATTERS LISTED BELOW PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 11126, PART OF THE BAGLEY-KEENE OPEN MEETING ACT: 104

A. LITIGATION.

The Commission may consider pending and possible litigation pursuant to the confidentiality of attorney-client communications and privileges provided under Government Code section 11126, subdivision (e).

1. The Commission may consider pending and possible matters that fall under Government Code section 11126, subdivision (e)(2)(A), concerning adjudicatory proceedings before a court, an administrative body exercising its adjudicatory authority, a hearing officer, or an arbitrator, to which the Commission is a party. Such matters currently include the following:

California Coastkeeper Alliance, California

Coastal Protection v. California State Lands Commission

California State Lands Commission v. City and County of San Francisco

Center for Biological Diversity v. California State Lands Commission

City of Goleta v. California State Lands Commission

In re: Rincon Island Limited Partnership Chapter 11

In re: Venoco, LLC, Bankruptcy Chapter 11

Little Beaver Land Company, Inc. v. State of California

Martins Beach 1, LLC and Martins Beach 2, LLC v. Effie Turnbul-Sanders, et al.

Nowell Investment Company v. State of California; California State Lands Commission

Redevelopment Agency of San Francisco v. John W. Lebolt, et al.

San Francisco Baykeeper v. California State Lands Commission

San Francisco Baykeeper v. California State Lands Commission II

San Joaquin River Exchange Contractors Water Authority v. State of California; State Lands Commission

Seacliff Beach Colony Homeowners Association v. State of California, et al.

Sierra Club, et al. v. City of Los Angeles, et al.

SLPR, LLC, et al. v. San Diego Unified Port District, California State Lands Commission

SOS Donner Lake v. State of California, et al.

United States v. 1.647 Acres

United States v. Walker River Irrigation District, et al.

United States of America v. State of California, et al.

World Business Academy v. California State Lands Commission

- 2. The Commission may consider matters that fall 'under Government Code section 11126, subdivision (e)(2)(b), under which;
 - a. A point has been reached where, in the opinion of the Commission, on the advice of its legal counsel, based on existing facts and circumstances, there is a significant exposure to litigation against the Commission, or
 - b. Based on existing facts and circumstances, the Commission is meeting only to decide whether a closed session is authorized

INDEX CONTINUED

PAGE

because of a significant exposure to litigation against the Commission.

- 3. The Commission may consider matters that fall under Government Code section 11126, subdivision (e)(2)(C), where, based on existing facts and circumstances, the state body has decided to initiate or is deciding whether to initiate litigation.
- B. CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATORS.

The Commission may consider matters that fall under Government Code section 11126, subdivision (c)(7), under which, prior to the purchase sale, exchange, or lease of real property by or for the Commission, the directions may be given to its negotiators regarding price and terms of payment for the purchase, sale, exchange, or lease. At the time of publication of this Agenda, it is not anticipated that the Commission will discuss any such matters; however, at the time of the scheduled meeting, a discussion of any such matter may be necessary or appropriate.

Adjournment 104

Reporter's Certificate

105

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Good afternoon. I call this meeting of the State Lands Commission to order. All representatives of the Commission are present. I'm State Controller Betty Yee. And I'm joined today by Rhys Williams representing Lieutenant Gavin Newsom who will be joining us momentarily, and by Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez representing the Department of Finance.

For the benefit of those in the audience present here in Palm Springs, as well as those watching on the webcast, State Lands Commission manages State property interests in over five million acres of land, including mineral interests. The Commission also has responsibility for the prevention of oil spills are marine oil terminals and offshore oil platforms. And for preventing the introduction of marine invasive species into California's marine waters.

Today, we will hear requests and presentations involving the lands and resources within the Commission's jurisdiction. We recognize that the lands we manage have been inhabited for tens of thousands of years by California's native peoples, and take seriously our trust relationship with these sovereign governments.

Today, our gratitude goes to the Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians, who have inhabited the Palm

2.

```
Springs area for countless generations, on whose ancestral hands we sit today. We also thank the Torres Martinez

Desert Cahuilla Indians whose ancestral lands include the Salton Sea, which Commissioner Newsom visited this morning.
```

I want to thank the City of Palm Springs for welcoming us and having us convene the State Lands
Commission meeting in its chambers and very, very happy to have a number our city officials with us and I'd like to just give them an opportunity address the Commission if they would. We have Mayor Robert Moon, who was with us, and three of the council members, Council Members Jeff Kors, Lisa Middleton, and Christy Holstege.

Thank you so much for hosting us. And please, if you'd like to offer a few words?

PALM SPRINGS MAYOR MOON: Very briefly I'd like to welcome to the State Lands Commission

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Mayor, if you will, there's a podium right there, where -- this is being webcast.

PALM SPRINGS MAYOR MOON: We didn't have a rehearsal.

(Laughter.)

CHAIRPERSON YEE: That's okay.

PALM SPRINGS MAYOR MOON: Welcome to the Land -- State Lands Commission and to our Controller Betty Yee.

It's such an honor to have you here. And I understand this is the first time you've met in the desert in 80 years. So really -- and I hope you enjoy your time here. And I hope it will not be another 80 years before you come back.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: I can assure you, it won't be.

PALM SPRINGS MAYOR MOON: Because as we like to
say Palm Springs is like no place else. And besides being
a beautiful place with our mountains and our beautiful
environment here, we also have a very involved and active
and Educated community here, which is very, very active in
the work that you do.

So thank you very much for being here today.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Mayor Moon.

Council members please.

PALM SPRINGS COUNCIL MEMBER KORS: Well, welcome to Palm Springs. And we really are thrilled to have the Commission here.

You know, the desert environment is so precious to all of us who live here, but it's such an important part of the State. And we're all thrilled that you are here to see it and to hear from people in this community. And the work that has been done by the Commission is so important to us to preserve the desert. And the desert also offers a unique environment for renewable energy, and

carbon-free energy. And in August, we will be launching our community choice aggregation program here, along with desert -- Palm Desert and Cathedral City. And for the exact same cost to ratepayers, both commercial and residential, as Edison charges for not carbon-free power we will have 100 percent carbon free option.

And we really are looking in the future to be able to increase the local proportion of that, and to generate more power locally. And so making sure we do that in a way that preserves the desert environment is really critical to us, and we look forward to working with you on that effort. So thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Council Member Kors. Great News. Great work.

Okay. Lisa.

Okay. Thank you very much again for hosting us today.

All right. Commissioners, the first item of business will be the adoption of the minutes from the Commission's meeting of February 27th, 2018. Is there a motion to approve the minutes

ACTING COMMISSIONER WONG-HERNANDEZ: So moved.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. We have a motion by Commissioner Wong-Hernandez.

ACTING COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS: Second.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Seconded by Commissioner Williams.

Without objection, such will be the order.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Next item of business is the Executive Officer's report.

Ms. Lucchesi.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yes. Good afternoon.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Good afternoon.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: I also want to thank the City of Palm Springs for their warm welcome and their generosity in hosting us today.

I know that a lot of members -- for those watching on the webcast and in the audience, a lot of members of the public associate the State Lands Commission with the Pacific Ocean, with bays, and navigable waterways. In Riverside County, in San Bernardino County and Imperial County, we manage hundreds of thousands of acres of school lands and mineral interests, including the California portion of the Colorado River.

So the State Lands Commission has a huge stake in the success of these three counties, and our contributions to their success through the management of State property interests.

With that said, I have just a couple of brief updates for the Commission. As a reminder, the State Lands Commission's budget is up next week in the Assembly Budget Subcommittee on April 25th, and in the Senate Budget Subcommittee on April 26th.

So I will be participating in those hearings, and advocating for our budget during those hearings on behalf of the Commission.

I want to give a brief update on our decommissioning activities relating to Platform Holly and Rincon Island down in Santa Barbara County and Ventura County respectively. We continue on Platform Holly and the Piers 421 to coordinate on the technical aspects of proceeding towards plugging and abandoning the 32 wells offshore with our contractor Beacon West and with Exxon. That coordination continues.

On the legal front, we are in negotiations with Exxon on both an interim agreement, so that they can start getting started on some of the preparation and ongoing maintenance work that needs to be done prior to actually starting the plugging and abandonment work. And then we also are negotiating an engagement agreement for the broader plugging and abandonment work permanently.

And then finally, we are continuing to coordinate closely with our regulatory agency partners and local

government partners to secure permits and plan for the plugging and abandonment work. We do have a town hall meeting planned for -- in the City of Goleta on May 14th, and a formal presentation to the Goleta City Council to provide them an update on all things Platform Holly Piers 421, the Ellwood Onshore Facility, processing plant, and the Venoco Bankruptcy proceedings on May 15th.

With regards to Rincon Island, we are also working closely with our emergency contracting on site to continue to maintain the island, and get it ready to start the plugging and abandonment work. We issued a statement of interest, and are currently evaluating the submittals for a contractor to come in and actually perform the plugging and abandonment work.

We're also working closely with DOGGR on those efforts to get the island ready for the work, and we continue to coordinate with the property owners adjacent to the island along the coast there, and with the County Ventura to start scheduling a more comprehensive public outreach campaign to make sure that the greater community knows what's going on, and what to expect in the coming years -- months and years.

At the February -- moving on to San Mateo County.

At the February 27th State Lands Commission meeting, the

Commission directed staff to conduct a Public Trust needs

assessment for an 8.8 acre parcel of filled lands in the City of Burlingame. Since that time, in coordination with the City of Burlingame, staff has conducted public outreach, and held a public meeting to solicit input. The public meeting was held on March 22nd at the Burlingame Parks and Recreation Center. The meeting was attended by over 100 members of the public with standing room only. We are really pleased by the turn out on that.

Staff presented on the Public Trust doctrine and they uses permitted under the Public Trust followed by a question and answer session. The large group was then broken into smaller groups with note takers to assemble a comprehensive list of the public's needs for this piece of property at this location.

Staff has compiled the suggestions and comments made from that meeting, and we continue to -- our outreach efforts for additional input as we begin the analysis process. We will prepare a Public Trust needs assessment and make a draft version available on our website, and circulate that among the public and in close coordination with the city on the draft before finalizing the assessment for the Commission's consideration at either the June or August meeting. So we're moving and making progress fairly quickly on that.

I want to give you my routine monthly update on

the San Diego Ocean Planning Partnership with the Port of San Diego. We are moving forward with both stakeholder outreach and the development of an interactive spatial tool. The team had a couple of very productive days at the end of March in San Diego meeting with the Navy to finalize GIS data layers to inform the spatial tool, and with the Maritime Alliance to discuss the considerations that will be important for ocean planning related to blue tech technologies and activities, and the blue economy.

We are busy synthesizing the input that we've received so far from all stakeholder engagement meetings, and we look forward to presenting our early learnings to you and the public at either the June or the August meeting.

And finally, just wanted to update the public on a couple of Commission meeting location changes. For our June 21st meeting, we will -- we are looking at holding that meeting in Marin or Sonoma Counties. August 23rd, we'll be in Los Angeles -- the greater Los Angeles area. October 18th, we're planning to hold that meeting in Sacramento. And our December meeting, we're looking at San Diego to hold that meeting.

 $\label{eq:And that concludes my Executive Officer's report.}$ I'm happy to answer any questions

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Great. Thank you, Ms.

Lucchesi. Let me welcome Commissioner Newsom to the meeting.

Any questions or comments?

I'm just going to say a couple things. I really am very appreciative of the public process with respect to the City of Burlingame issue. And if for nothing else, and I think some of the press coverage holds this to be true that any time we have an opportunity to do public education around the Public Trust Doctrine I think is very, very useful. And I think the participation has been quite robust with respect to ideas for the property there.

And again, just not enough kudos to the staff for continuing the diligence on Platform Holly, given that this is really stretching our bandwidth a bit. But just very, very appreciative of the ongoing work there.

Okay. No other comments, we'll move on to the next order of business, which will be the adoption of the consent calendar. Commissioners, any items that you would like to see removed from the consent calendar?

I'll then ask Ms. Lucchesi to identify any from your perspective as well.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yes. I would like to remove Consent Items 16, 54, 67, 79, 89, 90, and 93, and Regular Item 105 from the agenda to be heard at a later date.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: All right. Commissioners, any other items you'd like to see removed?

COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Move the remainder of the calendar.

ACTING COMMISSIONER WONG-HERNANDEZ: Second.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. We have a motion by Commissioner Newsom to approve the remainder of the consent calendar, seconded by Commissioner Wong-Hernandez. Without objection, that motion carries.

And I think we had some folks signed in for Item C 54. That item has now been removed for a later time.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yes, that item is removed from the agenda and will be heard at a later date.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Very well. Thank you very much.

All right. Then we -- we'll move on to -- oh,

I'm sorry. Let me back up. Is there any member of the

audience that wished to have spoken on the -- any item on
the consent calendar.

I don't believe anyone signed in. Just wanted to check.

Okay. Very well. Thank you. So the next order of business is the regular calendar. So let me see, I believe we're starting out with item 103.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yes. Sheri

Pemberton of our staff will be giving staff's update on our efforts to overhaul the State Land Commission's Environmental Justice Policy.

(Thereupon an overhead presentation was Presented as follows.)

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Great. Thank you.

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF PEMBERTON: Thank you. Good afternoon.

I have a PowerPoint.

--000--

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF PEMBERTON: And so I just wanted to kind of give a brief overview and run through where we are. And these are the objectives of this presentation is to just provide an overview of our workplan, our key tasks, the Commission's participation in GARE, our timeline, and then I'll conclude.

--000--

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF
PEMBERTON: We're in the process as we've been for over
the year -- over the last year doing outreach and public
engagement. We're trying to do targeted outreach in
communities throughout the State. We're also trying to
use our office locations to have roundtables where we can
invite the public to come and have more in-depth

discussions about our draft policy.

We have three teams, and each team has a lead and co-lead. They're organized into outreach and public engagement, policy development, and implementation.

--000--

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF PEMBERTON: And we're also going to be doing webinars in addition to our roundtables and our outreach and also focusing on outreach with Native American tribes.

--000--

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF
PEMBERTON: We last week released a draft of our
Environmental Justice Policy to a group of environmental
justice organizations who formed a working group who are
helping to advise us. And this is a list of those
organizations. And so we've had some great feedback from
them, and we're making some revisions to the Policy based
on that feedback. And then our hope is to release that
draft policy more broadly to all of our staff, and to our
really comprehensive outreach list.

This is just a snapshot of CalEnviroScreen. And it's a tool that we've been using also to help us as we design our outreach, so we can go to areas that are -- have more disadvantaged communities and vulnerable populations. So we're really concentrating on the areas

1 where there is the largest need. --000--2 3 EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF 4 PEMBERTON: And we're also --5 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Just a quick through the 6 Chair. 7 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Yes, please. 8 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Just curious, who put --9 who's responsible for CalEnviroScreen? 10 EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF 11 PEMBERTON: Yes. 12 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: How's that methodology. I 13 mean, I sense a methodology obviously with the --14 EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF 15 PEMBERTON: Yes. Yes. I'm sorry for skipping over that. 16 It's the -- under the California Environmental Protection 17 Agency, the California Health -- I'm not sure I remember all the words Health Assessment -- Office of Health 18 19 Assessment -- I'm kind of blanking on their name, but 20 they're a statewide organization under CalEPA, and they 21 created CalEnviroScreen and they maintain it. 22 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: And it's updated on Annual 23 basis, or --2.4 EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF

PEMBERTON: It's updated regularly. I'm not sure if it's

25

annually or how frequently, but I can find out and provide you that information.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Yeah, just interesting.

It's, yeah, a valuable tool. I mean obviously a perfect guidepost.

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF PEMBERTON: It -- yeah, exactly. And you can also enter in specific questions or inquiries, so you can target a whole variety of different demographics.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Great to know.

--000--

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF PEMBERTON: So it's really -- it's really been useful.

We're also working with California Coastal
Commission and Governor's Office of Planning and Research.
I say that kind of loosely, but we've been, as a staff,
working with their staff, because they're embarking on a
similar endeavor, along with San Francisco Bay
Conservation and Development Commission. So we're hoping
we can gather together and do some outreach amongst our
different agencies.

--000--

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF

PEMBERTON: And then in terms of policy development, We'll

be recirculating our draft policy soon, and then we'll

present that to the Commission at its June meeting and its August meeting as an informational item.

And then we'll continue to get public comment and direction from the Commission. This is our plan. And we'll present the final Environmental Justice Policy to the Commission for its consideration at the October or December meeting.

--000--

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF
PEMBERTON: And then we also have an implementation team,
who are working on procuring staff training, developing a
workload analysis so we can hopefully have authority to
bring on an Environmental Justice Liaison, and we're also
being very self-reflective and trying to be innovative and
thoughtful and sensitive.

--000--

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF
PEMBERTON: We're also as a staff participating in the
Government Alliance on Race and Equity. And that really
complements the work we're doing on environmental justice,
because it focuses on social and racial equity.

--000--

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF PEMBERTON: And so that's it. We're really -- we're really appreciative, and glad, and excited about doing

this work. And we've been doing it for a while, but we feel really passionate and committed. And so thank you for the opportunity to present an update and I'm happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Great. Thank you, Sheri.
Comments from Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: No. Just I -- just applaud the progress. Thanks for the update, and it's good to see the end date in sight as well most importantly, which is what I fundamentally was curious about.

So thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Yes, Commissioner.

ACTING COMMISSIONER WONG-HERNANDEZ: It doesn't appear to want to work. It's on and off.

Thank you for the work that you've done. You know, we have really important work. I'm really looking forward to seeing the report further.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Let me just add, I just think this work is phenomenal. And what I particularly like about it is that you're not taking a linear approach with respect to all these steps that are going to be critical elements and future of the policy. The engagement with the environmental justice community statewide has been stellar. And I think just the -- already the integration of, you know, their participation and reflection of

their -- reflection of those perspectives and the work that we do is already evident. So I appreciate that very much.

We have a couple of speakers on this item. If I could call you up. And I apologize. I cannot read the last name as written on the sheets. Rebecca from Leadership Counsel, and Angela from Sacred Places Institute come forward.

Good afternoon.

2.4

MR. ZARAGOZA: Hello. Good afternoon. My name is Rebecca Zaragoza. I'm a policy advocate with the Leadership Counsel for Justice and Accountability. And I'm based in the eastern Coachella Valley.

I want to start off by thanking Sheri Pemberton and the Commission for reaching out to us to make public comment and for hosting this meeting near our communities.

The communities that we work with in the Eastern Coachella Valley are the four unincorporated communities. They are Thermal, Mecca, Oasis and North Shore. And they all face discrimination on day-to-day basis. They deal with poor air quality, contaminated drinking water, septic issues, illegal dumping and burning in their communities. And these are only a few of the issues that they deal with.

I want to stress that these issues have persisted

throughout decades, and community residents have been voicing their concerns. But because of the small amount of change that we've seen, they're growing more and more disillusioned with any change happening in the near future.

So I'll start off by saying a few facts of the communities, and then provide some -- a list of recommendations for you to consider in your Environmental Justice Policy.

In 2015, 18 percent of the -- all adults in the valley were diagnosed with a respiratory disease. That's more than 62,000 people. Nearly 11 percent of the children have asthma. And these numbers are surely growing because of the Salton Sea crisis. However, these numbers are not all accurate, because they don't reflect the number of residents with respiratory diseases who get attention from clinics and not hospitals. And one of the reasons why that is is because if you live in North Shore, which is practically right adjacent to the Salton Sea, the nearest hospital is in Indio, which is more than a 30 or 40 minute drive.

In Thermal, pesticides and illegal dumping plague the area. There needs to be a way to work with tribes, because illegal dumping often happens on tribal land. And so I think that there needs to be a way to coordinate with

the tribes, and prevent these incidents from occurring.

On the east side, many communities have chronically contaminated water. In the community of Oasis, arsenic was found in high concentrations in all of the aquifers in the basin, and fluoride was also found at high concentrations.

And even with the knowledge and awareness of these living conditions, our elected officials and representatives still support sprawl development, and are bringing in outside communities to occupy our lands and gentrify our neighborhoods.

We are seeing very slow change again, like I said, but we expect that in your efforts to update the Environmental Justice Policy, you will include ways to addresses these types of issues, for rural, disadvantaged, and unincorporated communities throughout the State.

So I will now read a list of some recommendations for you to consider throughout the process:

Be more cognizant of the cumulative impacts suffered by EJ communities; develop an existing burden analysis; desert renewable energy needs to be appropriate so as not to harm existing communities; make sure your efforts don't just look at preventing harm to communities, but that your projects are actually benefiting them; develop guidelines for a review process for project

approval; develop an environmental review process; again, make sure that projects don't add additional harm to communities; prevent expansion of oil terminals and pipelines; help transition California off of fossil fuels; promote clean transportation options;

Instead of big solar projects, prioritize smaller insulations like community solar projects, which is something that our communities are greatly supportive of; address the agricultural runoff and nitrates that flow into water system; work closely with local, State, and federal agencies to combine all of your efforts; and again to reiterate, do more extensive and meaningful outreach and collaboration with the EJ communities.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you very much.

Yes, Commissioner, please.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Can you just quickly -just see if I heard it correctly. You said that we're
only collecting respiratory data in hospitals, but we're
not including those instances that people are working or
at least getting the kind of care in the community
clinics?

MS. ZARAGOZA: Yes. And also, the numbers that we have don't account for the people who don't seek that attention.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: In the first place.

And it just begs the question why we're not collaborating with community clinics to connect that data with the hospital setting. But interesting, thank you for that. It's illuminating and profoundly important, and so grateful for your comments.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you.

MS. ZARAGOZA: Thank you. Any other questions?

CHAIRPERSON YEE: No. Thank you very much for being here.

Angela.

MS. MOONEY D'ARCY: Hi, everybody. I'm Angela Moody D'Arcy. I'm from the Acjachemen Nation Juaneno Band of Mission Indians. Our ancestral territory is in what's now known as Orange County.

I want to acknowledge the Cahuilla people of Agua Caliente and Torres Martinez and all Cahuilla Nations for allowing us to be here on their land, and really to uplift the State Lands Commission. I'm at, you know, various State agency meetings all the time, and I have to say this is the first time that I've heard the meeting opened by acknowledging who's ancestral lands they're on. So really thank you for that.

I am the Executive Director of the Sacred Places
Institute for Indigenous Peoples. So we're honored to be

one of the eight organizations that's part of the
Environmental Justice Working Group. And I'm really
hopeful, excited that you guys are doing this work,
honored to be a part of that group. Our working group has
come up with 10 pages of recommendations that we'll be
sharing in greater detail with staff soon. We'll be
reporting to you all at the June meeting.

Very excited to update that at this point you know we're considering, as we rightly should, uplifting California's history and its shameful legacy of State sponsored genocide, and really looking at what State Lands can do to engage in that history in a positive way, and look at these opportunities to engage with native nations moving forward. So thank you. I look forward to getting to know you all better and presenting in June.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Angela, for being here. Comments?

Okay. Very well. Ms. Lucchesi, anything else on this item?

Sheri.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: No.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Very well. Thank you very much.

Our next item is Item 104. And this is to consider approval determinations regarding the Mission

Rock development. And let me have staff come up and make the presentation.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Reid Boggiano of our staff will be giving our presentation followed by the Port of San Francisco.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Sounds Great. Good afternoon.

(Thereupon an overhead presentation was

Presented as follows.)

PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST BOGGIANO: Good afternoon, Commissioners.

In 2007, the legislature enacted SB 815 temporarily lifting the Public Trust use restrictions over designated seawall lots in San Francisco and enabling development to generate revenue for port historic rehabilitation, open space, and public plazas.

--000--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST BOGGIANO: As a result of SB 815, the Port initiated a planning process for the Mission Rock Project located within Seawall Lot 337 just south of AT&T park. Following a multi-year public planning process, the owners of the San Francisco Giants were selected as the Port's development partner for the master development of the 16-acre site. The proposed project consists of approximately 1600 units of new rental housing and 1.4 million square feet of new office space,

retail, waterfront parks, public infrastructure, and sets forth a process aimed at the rehabilitation of Pier 48.

This new neighborhood and public open space is designed to become a destination that complements the San Francisco waterfront and Mission Bay.

The project will require a substantial investment in public infrastructure including new streets, sidewalks, utility infrastructure, and elevation increases. The cost to deliver the infrastructure improvements, including the soft costs and mitigation measures is estimated at 166 million. SB 815 was enacted before Port staff fully understood the complexity of developing Seawall Lot 337, including the need to finance new infrastructure to support development.

Under SB 815, all port revenue from the non-Trust leasing and development of the seawall lots was specifically reserved for the rehabilitation of the Port's historic piers and for the development of the waterfront plazas and the open space.

In 2016, SB 815 was amended by AB 2797 allowing the Port to use its non-Trust lease revenues from the project to make port advances to finance the costs of Seawall Lot 337 infrastructure. This complex financing structure is based on the premise that Port advances of ground rent will allow the Port to stem the compounding of

developer returns and repay developer's equity and return more quickly substantially reducing financing costs while also preserving the Port's underlying land value.

Prior to the use of the Port advances to pay for Seawall Lot 337 infrastructure costs, the Commission must find that the use of the advances is in the -- is in the best interests of the state, will benefit the Public Trust, and is consistent with the Port's fiduciary duties as trustee.

The Port must also provide sufficient assurances that the trust will be made whole. The project's financing plan establishes two public financing mechanisms to fund infrastructure costs and to repay port and developer capital. Port property tax increment estimated to be generated to be -- estimated to be generated by infrastructure financing districts covering the project site, and special taxes from one more -- one or more to-be-formed community financing districts, or CFDs, over the project site.

Commission staff contracted with Sperry Capital to analyze the project's financial documents and determine the risks associated with the Port advances.

Sperry's capital risk assessment found that CFDs will be predominantly used to repay developer capital and port advances. The city plans to form one or more CFDs

over the Mission Rock Special Use District, and establish annual tax rate for CFD special taxes. Taxable parcels for the project, however, have not yet been created.

Without formed CFDs, Sperry Capital was unable to

analyze the forecasts for the CFD projections.

Nonetheless, Sperry Capital concluded that it's reasonable to assume that the city will establish special tax rates that provide sufficient funding for the infrastructure costs. Goodwin consulting, the Port's special tax consultant for the Mission Rock CFDs, is under contract to prepare the documentation required to form the CFDs, and will be able to do so once taxable parcels are created at the start of phase one of the project.

The Port and the developer have agreed to CFD term sheet on tax levels the Port intends to seek through CFD formation, which is expected to occur early to mid-2018. The structure of the project's -- the project's transaction documents gives the Port significant control over the process of budgeting and the formation of the CFD.

The use of the Port advances is an essential -is an essential financing component of the project
enabling the Port to pay down developer equity more
quickly, increasing the Port's return on the project.

--000--

PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST BOGGIANO: Port advances will also allow the project to deliver China Bain Park, a large park planned along the north waterfront years earlier than anticipated. Although there is risk associated with the repayment, staff believes the benefits to the Trust outweigh the risks.

Assuming the city and port proceed with the formation of CFDs and establish a special tax rate to provide sufficient funding for infrastructure costs, staff recommends the Commission find that there are sufficient assurances that the Trust will be made whole, and that the use of the Port advances, as described in the Port's documents, is in the best interests of the state, will benefit the Public Trust, and is on terms consistent with the Port's fiduciary duty as trustee.

Commission staff is requesting that following the formation of the CFDs, the Port provide Commission staff the CFD cash flow projections prepared by an independent specialized consultant, so staff can review the projections.

The Commission must also approve the Port's procedures for establishing fair market value, and the ground lease for the development parcels. Staff has reviewed the vertical development and disposition agreement, the parcel lease, and the joint appraisal

instructions, and recommend the Commission approve the form of the documents.

Finally, SB 815 requires the Port to submit for Commission approval a study analyzing the need to retain trust uses within Seawall Lot 337. The study's conclusions address the project's public outreach, the Public Trust needs at the site, and addresses the transportation needs in the vicinity.

Staff has reviewed the study and recommends that the Commission approve the conclusions.

This concludes staff's presentation. I'd like to turn it over to the Rebecca Benassini with the Port and Jack Bair from the Giants for a brief information presentation on the Mission Rock Project and I'm available for any questions after their presentation.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you very much.

(Thereupon an overhead presentation was Presented as follows.)

MR. BAIR: Thank you. My name is Jack Bair. I'm with the San Francisco Giants Baseball team. I'm also the president of our real estate entity that is sponsoring this project along with the Port of San Francisco.

See if we can get the slides up here.

I was here before the State Lands Commission, not in Palm Springs, but in Sacramento 21 years ago when we

approved the ballpark. So I'm glad to be back here today. 1 We've been working on this project for 11 years. 2 It's been an odyssey, a journey. Everybody has touched this 3 project, and it's really ready now to be approved. 4 5 it's one of the final stops on our journey. It's been a 6 collaboration, not only with the State Lands Commission, 7 but BCDC, the Port of San Francisco, Mayor's Office in San Francisco. In fact, it started under Mayor Newsom 11 8

COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: I'm going to miss the concrete parking lot.

(Laughter.)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

years ago.

MR. BAIR: Yes.

So just really quickly some slides. Most of you are familiar with the area that we're talking about just south of the ballpark surrounding my Mission Bay. It's actually -- there was a rock formation in the Bay called Mission Rock, which this arrow points to.

And our site is the site that's bounded by the red outline. Just off the coast from that site used to be Mission Rock.

--000--

MR. BAIR: This is a picture of our parking lot that serves the ballpark. And we think there's a better use for this beautiful waterfront land, and we've spent

many years trying to come to a consensus of what that use would be.

--000--

MR. BAIR: We worked with the neighborhood with all the public process in San Francisco, and all the different agencies to come up with a project that balances the needs of the State Lands Commission, the City, and to get to the project that we have today.

--000--

MR. BAIR: This is a land-use plan that shows where the open space is in the project, which is considerable over eight acres of open space, especially a large waterfront park that you see at the north part of the site. But it includes housing, office, retail, parking, and, of course, parks.

--000--

MR. BAIR: This is a view of the site from the ballpark, the upper deck of the ballpark. It will be very visually prominent.

--000--

MR. BAIR: This is a rendering of the China
Basing Park, which is a five-acre park on the water's
edge, which will really be transformative for the City, in
terms of its open space network in this neighborhood.

--000--

MR. BAIR: And the park itself and the project is resilient to sea level rise, in that it's 66 inches above grade where it is today. And the park itself is also resilient. And you can see the salt water plants that will be along the waterfront.

--000--

MR. BAIR: We also have a square in the middle of the project that's sort of reminiscent of some squares you might see in Europe, Bryant Park in New York. It's meant to be the social hub of the Mission Bay Neighborhood surrounded by retail, and restaurants, and active life.

--000--

MR. BAIR: We also have an emphasis on small intimate neighborhood retail throughout the project to make the ground floor very compelling for our -- not only all the fans that come to the Giants games, but all the residents that live in Mission Bay and will live at Mission Rock.

--000--

MR. BAIR: We also have an edge that's more industrial in nature, so we're preserving the working waterfront theme on the east edge of our site. And we also have Pier 48, which is envisioned to have small manufacturing industrial type uses.

--000--

MR. BAIR: We also have housing that will be approximately 1400 to 1500 units of housing. It depends on the mix of the size of the units, but 40 percent of those units will be affordable. So this is groundbreaking in that way, and it's affordable to all ranges, so from very low incomes to more moderate incomes. And that's a real crisis throughout our State and in San Francisco.

--000--

MR. BAIR: It's also -- will be home to commercial office. And as you can see in this rendering, very attractive, compelling space with the views of the Bay Bridge and the ballpark and the waterfront. So we're very excited to get started to transform this surface parking lot and make it really productive for the Port, the State Lands Commission, and the people of San Francisco.

Rebecca.

MS. BENASSINI: Thank you, Jack. Rebecca
Benassini from the Port of San Francisco. I just wanted
to highlight some of the Trust benefits that Reid has
discussed and Jack has so beautifully displayed in the
renderings that Giants team has put together.

--000--

MS. BENASSINI: Wanted to put a fine point on what we've been talking about transitioning the space from

primarily a space for vehicles to a space for people and a space for the public. What you see here is of the 28-acre footprint of the site, which includes Pier 48, about a third of it is dedicated to public space, including the eight acres of park, and an additional four acres focused on bike-ped facilities. And a lot of care was taken in laying out the different types of streets. The blue is the shared public way. We have some hatched streets on the north end of the site, which are primarily pedestrian focused.

From the Port's perspective, we're really happy to see this sort of gateway we're going to have to Pier 48 and Pier 50, which are going to make those facilities much more viable for different types of uses in the future. Right now, they're across a large parking lot. So to the extent we can get people out there more easily, we're going to attract a lot of different types of uses.

--000--

MS. BENASSINI: We often think of parks and streets and roadways as clearly public spaces. And there was a lot of thought given to those design elements. I want to also point out that the ground floor of the buildings. This design team put a lot of thinking into those, and approached them as sort of an extension of the public realm. So the retail studies that were undertaken

to design the ground floor of each streets looked at the great retail streets primarily in San Francisco Filmore Street, Chestnut Street to really identify what makes those streets nice on a sort of quantitative basis.

They looked at how long are the blocks, where are the -- how many shops do people like to walk along as they're walking along the block, how big are the shops, how wide are they? And the retail strategy that's come from that design that was adopted by the city, we think is really going to provide for a memorable experience for residents, workers, and visitors alike.

--000--

MS. BENASSINI: And the last point I wanted to highlight is the amount of revenue the Port is projecting that will be under our control in one way or another. This chart which shows through the end of -- end of the century projected real estate revenue based sources to the Port, which is lower half of the chart. And those are ground leases, transfer payments the Port is anticipating as ground leases turnover over time.

We also see the kind of middle part of the chart, which is the tax increment, which comes from the infrastructure financing district established on port property. It's established for a 45-year time period. That revenue will be paying us back for the land proceeds

that we loan the project in order to get the infrastructure paid down more quickly. We'll get those proceeds back.

And we will also get outyear proceeds, which can be used for resiliency port-wide. So this will be a revenue source not only for Mission Rock, but to assist other parts of the waterfront that might not have revenue sources like Mission Rock does.

And the last piece of the chart is the additional special tax, the Community Facilities District additional special tax, which will help support infrastructure in the early years. But beginning in phase two, we, the Port, can direct those revenues to other resiliency needs. And this is sort of a nice complement to an agenda item you'll hear in a couple months after us, which is looking at Assembly Member Chiu's AB 2578, which is reviewing revenue sources for the Port's seawall project overall.

So I'm so happy to be here, and I want to acknowledge the Fran Weld, Phil Williamson, and Harriet Bridges are also from the team to answer any questions, and look forward to any questions or comments from the Commission. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you very much.

Comments from Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Just a wonder to see

37

- 1 progress and very appreciative.
- THE COURT REPORTER: Your microphone.
- COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: I'm not interested in what I'm saying.
- 5 (Laughter.)
- 6 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: But anyway, it's -- but I
 7 know -- I'll save my comments.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON YEE: No. Yeah, this is a long-time
 9 coming. I mean as a native San Franciscan, we've been
 10 watching this for a long time, but I just want to
 11 congratulate you on the robust public input and the public
 12 process as well.
- So, okay with -- I don't see any public comment registered for this item. So, at this point, a motion is in order.
- 16 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: I'll move.
- 17 ACTING COMMISSIONER WONG-HERNANDEZ: Second.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. A motion by Commissioner
 19 Newsom, second by Commissioner Wong-Hernandez.
- 20 Without objection, such will be the order. Thank
 21 you very much.
- MS. BENASSINI: Thank you.
- CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Our next item is Item

 106. And this is in consideration of Assembly Bill 2578

 by Assembly Member Chiu that would expand San Francisco's

infrastructure financing district to include shoreline protection. And we will welcome the staff presentation. Sheri.

2.4

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF PEMBERTON: Thank you. Item 106 recommends that the Commission support State legislation AB 2578 by Assembly Member Chiu that's designed to help provide funding to repair the Embarcadero seawall along the northern San Francisco waterfront, which is on Public Trust Lands that the Commission oversees in a way.

The way that the bill would do that is by expanding infrastructure financing districts so they include shoreline districts, so that that would be a way to bring in more funding. The City is also trying to move forward with a general obligation bond of about 350 million, and looking at trying to amass money in other ways. So this is one piece of that.

And we think it would be very beneficial to these public lands, and it's, I think, well documented and undisputed that the seawall is in need of repair. And the costs for that are around I think \$5 billion.

So for all those reasons, we recommend that the Commission take a support position on this legislation.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Great. Thank you, Sheri.

Comments from Commissioners?

1 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: I just feel profoundly 2 conflicted at these last two items, so it's --3 (Laughter.) 4 COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: -- wild enthusiasm that I 5 support this. Thank you. 6 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. I will consider that a 7 motion. 8 (Laughter.) 9 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Motion by Commissioner Newsom 10 to approve support of AB 2578. 11 ACTING COMMISSIONER WONG-HERNANDEZ: And I will be abstaining from voting on legislation, because we'll 12 13 take our own review at Department of Finance. 14 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Very well. With an 15 abstention from Commissioner Wong-Hernandez. I will 16 second the motion. 17 Without objection, that motion carries. 18 you. 19 Members, I'm want to return back to Item C 103 20 regarding our Environmental Justice Policy. We had two public speakers who signed in after we took the item up. 21 I'd like to invite them forward to address the Commission. 22 23 So if we could reopen that item without objection. 2.4 Let me call up Mr. Humberto Lugo and Luis Olmedo. 25 Good afternoon

MS. LUGO: Hi. Good afternoon, members. I missed the presentation, but --

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Could you introduce yourself for the record.

MS. LUGO: Yeah. Humberto Lugo with IVAN
Environmental Justice Task Force here in the Coachella
Valley, Eastern Coachella Valley. We're an environmental
justice organization that works mostly with the community
out in the Eastern Coachella Valley, which is in close
proximity to, you know, some of the desert lands, some of
the Joshua Tree, for example. We also have the Salton
Sea.

So those are things that are very dear to us because we're actually in a situation right now with the Salton Sea sediment being exposed, becoming airborne, toxic sediment that's in the air. And with the particulate matter from desert activities that happen here, you know, it's important that the State Commission and other agencies below the State, that are above, for example, Natural Resources or other agencies, that establish an element that represents environmental justice. I've -- we've seen that environmental justice is missing in a lot of these agencies.

We'd like to tell you that -- we would like to tell you that what happens in our communities is also

impacted. We have haven't had -- communities in Eastern Coachella Valley haven't had the access to natural lands. You know, it's been something that is mostly been for -- exclusively for the more affluent.

And we want to make sure that our communities and the youth that are here are able to tie these things that, you know, this is your land. This is where you can go and experience, but traditionally has been set hiking, camping for the more affluent.

So those are some of the points that I want to make. Also, one of the concerns here in the Coachella Valley is a new city being built in a time where we're experiencing droughts, we're experiencing tremendous impacts from the greenhouse gas emissions, climate change. And now we're thinking of building a city out -- 10 miles out of Coachella, in the Eastern Coachella Valley, an affluent community there. It's -- it literally says on the plan it's for an affluent community. Yet, in the Eastern Coachella Valley we're struggling with clean water, clean air, poor infrastructure.

So those are things that are -- need to be looked at here locally, because, you know, why are we building a city when we're still struggling in these communities, right?

So I'll leave my comments at that. I just wanted

to be brief and those are the concerns.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Mr. Lugo. Maybe I can just make a comment. Mr. Lugo, if you -- thank you for coming forward. And I want to encourage you to, to the extent that you can, participate in our environmental justice work. Your voice is very much an important one that needs to be heard with respect to some of the concerns that are relevant to this particular area. I will say that the State Lands Commission to the extent that we do work in partnership with many of our State Agencies, I think can be a conduit for some of these concerns on a more statewide basis with respect to issues of air quality, and certainly water supply.

MS. LUGO: If I can add a little more.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Sure.

MS. LUGO: Our organization has an air monitoring network of over 40 air quality censors from the border to here in Riverside. You know, this data is valuable data. It's actually being used now for an environmental justice element in Imperial County.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Yes.

MR. LUGO: So this is useful stuff. You know, in the legislation, there's AB 617 that passed. And so -- CHAIRPERSON YEE: Yes.

MS. LUGO: -- our -- we have the model for the rest of the State. I want to, you know, proudly say that we have the model for the state how to do this community engagement, how to set forward policies that will truly address air quality and climate change at the same time.

So I just wanted to add that.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you.

Yeah. Thank you very much.

MR. OLMEDO: Good afternoon. My name is Luis Olmedo. I'm the Executive Director of Comite Civico del Valle. And thank you for this opportunity Commissioners, Lieutenant Governor, and Madam Chair. It's the first time I've presented in front of this Commission. So if I don't get it right, I apologize.

My comment on the environmental justice, I just want to congratulate the staff and the Commission for really putting forth this environmental justice recommendation and policy. One of the biggest issues of concern that we have right now, presently we have a lot of them, is that the Salton Sea has a lot of expectations in regards to addressing disadvantaged communities, addressing public health, and engaging the environmental justice communities, yes, within parks, within public lands. A lot of the agencies that are carrying for our

natural resources have no policies on health and environmental justice. And however, more and more now we're seeing that they are tasked with delivering on these metrics, on these expectations on these policies that are rapidly exiting the legislature and being chaptered into policies to address these issues.

While these policies are now addressing health and environmental justice, I believe that the implementation of environmental justice policy here will be an example, and will be a motivator, I think, for other agencies such as Natural Resources, for example, that we've been asking them to integrate these policies. I think that this Commission putting forth this recommendation and setting the example will make it easier for environmental justice advocates here in this region and across the state to have -- to have this dialogue with these examples with Natural Resources.

CalEPA has done a very good job. There's always a lot more room for improvement. But starting here and moving into other agencies like natural resources is really the goal that I have. So thank you for this opportunity.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you very much. Comments from Commissioners?

Yes, Ms. Wong-Hernandez.

ACTING COMMISSIONER WONG-HERNANDEZ: Yes. Thank you for being here. As the -- as the Chair noted, we do have a lot of interaction with other agencies. And so it's really helpful to hear this, and to be able to take that feedback and process it, and also incorporate it into all the future kind of work we're doing in all the different areas throughout the state.

But just to have that perspective and to go into kind of our meetings with those agencies with that perspective on our minds.

Thank you.

2.4

MR. OLMEDO: Thank you very much. I notice on the report -- staff report that you've already done some outreach. Staff has reached out to us. Comite Civico Del Valle is here to be as supportive as possible to also help inform the recommendations to policy along the way.

So thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you. Thank you.

Okay. Thank you.

All right. We'll move on to the next item on the agenda, Item 107. And this is in consideration of supporting Assembly Bill 2441 by Assembly Member Frazier. And this relates to a new abandon vessel removal account for the Sacramento San Joaquin Delta.

Sheri.

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF PEMBERTON: Thank you.

This item recommends the Commission support AB 2441 by Assembly Member Frazier that would create an abandon vessel program for commercial vessels in the Delta that are abandoned. The way it would do that is by redirecting revenue from leases in the Delta area into a -- into a fund, and then authorizing the Commission staff to move forward with trying to remove these vessels.

And so we think it would benefit that area.

There are a lot of abandoned vessels, and there really isn't any funding when it comes to commercial vessels.

So we recommend that the Commission, even though there's a cost to it, it makes sense, and there's a real need in that area, but the Commission support this legislation.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Great. Thank you very much.

Again, I think, Ms. Wong-Hernandez, you'll be abstaining on this item?

ACTING COMMISSIONER WONG-HERNANDEZ: (Nods head.)

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Yeah. No, I would move the item.

24 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Moved by Commissioner

25 | Newsom. I will second that motion.

Without objection, such will be the order.

Thank you.

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATIVE LIAISON CHIEF
PEMBERTON: So the last legislative item is Item 108. And
this item recommends that the Commission sponsor
legislation to facilitate moving land that the State
acquired in a land exchange many years ago relating to the
Railyards Development in downtown Sacramento to the city
as part of its grant.

When the Commission approved the land exchange, it included a provision that would require the Commission staff and the city to work on this legislation, toe that's what this bill -- or that's what this proposal does.

Recently, Assembly Member McCarty introduced AB 1759 with the provisions of the grant. The other thing that this proposal would do is repeal two grants that were made to the city many years ago. And the reason for that is that last -- at the last meeting in February, the Commission approved a boundary line agreement for the Miller Park area in the Sacramento grant that shrunk substantially the land in the grant.

And so we recommend that those grants, since they're now relatively obsolete, be repealed. And so this legislative proposal would do those two things. And the City of Sacramento is in full support, and our staff has

been working closely with them.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Sounds wonderful.

4 CHAIRPERSON YEE: All right. Motion by

Commissioner Newsom.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

I will second that motion with Ms. Wong-Hernandez abstaining to sponsor legislation that's described.

Without objection, that motion carries.

All right. Next item is an informational status report. It's Item 109 on the remediation of abandoned mine features located on State school lands under the Commission's jurisdiction. And we'll have a staff presentation.

Good afternoon.

SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: Good afternoon, Madam Chair, Commissioners. My name is Greg Pelka and I work as a Senior Mineral Engineer in the Commission's Long Beach Office of the Commission.

(Thereupon an overhead presentation was presented as follows.)

SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: And it's my pleasure to provide you a brief summary and status on the Commission's abandoned mine program.

--000--

SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: This is

exactly what we're striving to prevent. We've not had such an incident on Commission managed lands. The left photo is Suzuki Samurai that fell into a shaft about 10 years ago, when two men were out exploring the remote -- remote gold mining region of Imperial County, not recognizing that the hill they climbed was actually a mine dump.

2.4

The Toyota SUV on the right fell into a shallow shaft in the El Paso Mountains of Kern County a few years later. Fortunately, there were no fatalities in either of these two incidents. However, others have not been so lucky, many others.

--000--

SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: The
Commission's Abandoned Mine Program was formally launched
in 2002 following outreach by the Dependent Conservation,
Division of Mine Reclamation, and the effort of Cy Oggins,
Chief of our Environmental Planning and Management
Division.

Since then, staff, and the Division of Mine Reclamation, have worked cooperatively under MOUs to inventory and safeguard mine features on 100 State school land parcels.

Current Commission mine remediation approval is premised on consultation with the California State

Historic Preservation Office, and the California

Department of Fish and Wildlife to ensure that historic

and biologic resources are not adversely impacted.

--000--

SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: The flowchart shows the 10 major steps of mine remediation. Of these 10 steps, the Division of Mine Reclamation is involved in nearly all. The Division deserves special recognition for the important role they play in the issuance and administration of the mine closure contract, in addition to the funding they provide that pays for nearly all contract work.

--000--

SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: These are typical mine closure techniques we employ. Upon inventory, mine warning signs are installed on all sizable mine features. Mine shafts are protected by a fence, either as an interim or permanent measure or by a seal cupola.

Bat gates are installed on adits, which are basically mine tunnels to allow continued wildlife access while protecting the public. In unique cases shafts are close with a foam plug or back-filled with mine waste rock. Irregular mine features may be covered with a cable net.

The top photo, top center photo there shows 100-foot deep mine shaft that initially, upon our inventory, we installed a mine warning sign. This was followed shortly thereafter by a fence, and ultimately remediated with a steel cupola similar to what you see in the lower left photo on your slide there.

--000--

SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: Internal surveys of an adit on Mohawk Hill revealed unstable rock, habitat for the desert tortoise, bats and other wildlife.

To preserve habitat and protect the public, we had a contractor install a bat gate meeting the construction guidelines of bat conservation international out of Texas.

After determining maturity of the tortoise, and measuring their carapace, or upper shell, the bat gate was designed that contained a custom sized tortoise trot or window to allow continued access as shown in the lower right photo. Hard to see that, but it's a little rectangular window that allows the tortoise to continue to go in and out. They seem to use these mines a lot for hibernation. It's not uncommon to find them back as far as 100 yards or more.

--000--

SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: In a

similar fashion, a bat gate was installed on a Gunsight Mine in Inyo County after an internal survey showed extensive development, unstable rock, and the orange calling card of the underground explorers, a group that explores abandoned mines.

A drone was used to survey upper mine workings on a steep hill that could not be safely reached. This experience helped lead to the purchase of the Commission's drone, a technological tool that is growing in importance.

You can see the drone in the upper right that was staff's. And we found the criticality of that. And then the Commission thereafter purchased their own drown, which has been very useful.

--000--

SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: Similar to a bat gate, a steel culvert gate is installed when a mine opening is too small or too unstable to safely install a conventional bat gate. This gold mine on Fremont Peak was scary to survey, and possessed loose, unstable, platy metamorphic rock. One particularly unstable section of the mine contained warning sign, which read, "danger. Bad ground. Keep out".

We heeded that warning and did not enter that area. You see that lower left photo. It's really bad there.

--000--

SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: An internal survey of the Gold Standard Mine in the Whipple Mountains by Brown-Berry Consulting revealed owl habitat, a species of increasing concern to Cal Fish and Wildlife. The wooden remnant of the headframe was important to the California State Historic Preservation Office not to disturb.

A steel cupola was custom constructed around the wood structure with a special opening and perch for the owls, as you can see on the lower right photo. Removable bars are installed on all bat gates, culvert dates, and cupolas, so that an archaeologist, biologist, or geologist may make future entry for survey.

--000--

SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA:

Inventory revealed dangerous shifts on a State parcel in the Fry Mountains of the BLM Johnson Valley Off Highway Vehicle area when wildlife surveys revealed no significant wildlife habitat, backfill with mine waste rock was employed as an effective mine closure technique following two nights of wildlife exclusion surveys.

While installing a fence on a shaft on the boundary of State and private property, staff discovered a live desert tortoise trapped in the bottom of the shaft.

After failing to reach Cal Fish and Wildlife by phone, we descended down the shift and successfully rescued the tortoise.

Later, Becky Jones, the Cal Fish and Wildlife desert tortoise lead applauded our effort to rescue the tortoise. We gave the tortoise food and water, but he was just happy to be free and on his way. And you can see him there in the lower right. His shell wasn't cracked. He was really happy. He was just really happy to get out of that hole.

(Laughter.)

SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: A dangerous 55-foot deep mine shaft was discovered at the Los Padres Mine in a portion of the Johnson Valley Off Highway Vehicle Area that's frequently used for motorcycle raises. A small foam plug is sometimes used when a shaft does not contain critical wildlife habitat, and there is no mine waste rock to consider backfilling.

Cal Fish and Wildlife required us to install an elevated owl box, which was later found to be used. You could barely see it there in the lower right photo.

Backfills and foam plugs are not frequently used due to the permanent loss of wildlife habitat, and elimination of historic attributes that may be associated with the mine.

--000--

SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: We have completed remediation on 53 of the 100 State school land parcels that possess one or more abandon mine feature and are actively working on those remaining.

In excess of 150 abandoned mine warning signs have been installed and nearly 100 more significant closures remediated. When complete, we will transition into an inspection program to ensure continued integrity of closures from weathering and vandalism, which we've already experienced.

In several cases, we've had people come out and use Sawzall or something and cut the steel bars and make entry. And one of them was a uranium mine where that was not good.

That concludes my presentation. I'll be happy to take any questions you may have. And I also brought one of our abandoned mine warning signs, if you would like to take a look at it.

(Laughter.)

SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: Thank you

CHAIRPERSON YEE: That's great. Thank you very much for that presentation. Comments from Commissioners?

Yes, Commissioner Newsom.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Thank you. Just out of curiosity what -- these mines come from what era? Is there sort of a continuity --

SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: Well, almost all of them precede the time status when the lands were transferred upon survey to the Commission. So many of them go back to 1900 or earlier. And almost all of them predate the enactment of the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act of 1975 --

COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Seventy-five.

SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: -- that required mines to be remediated to an alternative use.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: And that was then the next question. So that happens.

SENIOR RESOURCE MINERAL ENGINEER PELKA: So many of them are very old. The majority of them are 50, 75, 100 years old or older.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Got it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you. Other comments?

We do have one public speaker this. Pat Perez with the Department of Conservation, if you'll come forward.

Good afternoon.

MR. PEREZ: All right. Good afternoon, Madam

Chair and distinguished Commissioners. I'm here today to

just share a couple comments on the remarkable work that your staff has been doing for over a decade with respect to abandoned mines.

Again, my name is Pat Perez. I'm the State
Supervisor for the Department of Conversation's Division
of Mine Reclamation. And I'd also like to just commend
your Executive Officer, Jennifer Lucchesi, over here for
her continued support, and for the very talented team
she's assembled to deal with abandoned mine features
throughout California and especially on State-owned lands.

So the work that Greg Pelka, as well as Ricky
Lee, and the other teams has been outstanding. It's been
a wonderful working relationship that we've had over the
years. And as Greg noted, this collaboration began way
back in 2002. Two years later, we were able to secure
additional revenue from the gold and silver mines that are
currently operating in California. And that's what's
funding a lot of our work here.

As you also learned, my staff has been very active with the inventorying of the various features abandoned mine sites, not only on State Lands, but federal lands throughout California. We manage contracts. We provide project development in coordination with your staff here, and also provide construction oversight for much of the work that you just saw here on Greg's

presentation.

So I just want to note that we are very appreciative of the continued cooperation and support, and enthusiasm from your staff. Yes, we look into the future. You know, as communities and outdoor recreation continues to expand out into the rural areas, particularly out here in the desert areas, the potential for greater risk is certainly there. And that's why I think it's very important that we maintain a proactive approach to identify these sites, and work together to identify which sites pose the greatest hazard, so that we can address quickly.

You know, nearly all of these sites are actually accessible by old mining roads that were put in place well over 100 years ago. And then we also know from the evidence of being out there in the field that there is a lot of vehicle access into some of the wilderness areas where there should not be vehicle access. And therefore, we know that recreationalists are out there roaming around in these sites, so we're very concerned about that.

And there's also, you know what I would refer to as nefarious activities out there with some of these sites, you know, the dumping of bodies and murder victims, certainly and hiding contraband in these facilities, and mine sites has become commonplace. And certainly just

down the road at 29 Palms a couple years ago found a few bodies there. As you probably read in the papers in Utah and other states they've had similar incidences.

So I just want to, in closing and certainly on behalf of the Director of the Department of Conservation, Director Bunn, and myself, I just want to extend a big thank you to all of you Commissioners, Jennifer, her staff that are here today, as well as those that are in Long Beach, up in your Sacramento offices for the continued support. It's been an incredible collaborative working relationship and a productive one as we've been able to remove a lot of physical hazards out there that pose serious risk to the public, but we're also protecting the environment, and wildlife at the same time.

So thanks again. Really appreciate the opportunity to come before you today and for the continued support.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Mr. Perez. And thank you for the robust partnership throughout all this. Yes, Ms. Lucchesi.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yeah, I just wanted to add a couple of comments, and thank Pat for coming up -- coming down to our meeting, and for the kind words.

For both the Department of Conservation and the State Lands Commission, the work that we do

collaboratively really is not something that a lot of people are aware of. And at least for the State Lands Commission, it is one of our smaller programs. But as you can see from Greg and from Pat's presentation, incredibly important for public safety and the safety of our desert critters, and the natural resources out there. And this work is not easy. It's hard going out there. And the --it's physically demanding and it also takes a lot of time away from our staff's family with the travel and the time you have to spend out there.

And so I'm just -- I'm very proud of the work that we -- that we do, our teams do. And I also am very proud of the partnership with the conservation. I think this is really one of the most important and a model for how our two agencies can work together and have done so for over 15 years so successfully.

So thank you, Pat.

MR. PEREZ: Thank you very much.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: And finally, I also want to just shut out to Greg. He's been with the Commission for over 30 years. And for those of us who have been working in government at any level, we know that the real key to success to some of the programs that don't get the attention that other programs get is a dedicated staff member who is really invested in the success of that

program, and that is Greg. And he is all-knowing when it comes to abandoned mines, geothermal activities in the Cal -- in the desert area, and all of our interests in the lands and resources that we manage.

And he's just a -- just a huge asset to the Commission. And so I wanted to thank him for his many, many years of dedicated service and commitment to the Commission. So we wouldn't be here talking about the success of this program without him.

So thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Great. Thank you. Thank you, Jennifer. Thank you, Greg, very much for your sustained diligence with the program.

Other comments this item, members?

Okay. Hearing none, we will move on to the next item, which is Item C 110. And this is an informational report, status update on staff's renewable energy evaluation of our lands and resources. And we'll have the staff presentation.

Jennifer.

(Thereupon an overhead presentation was presented as follows.)

SCIENCE POLICY ADVISOR MATTOX: Hi. Good afternoon, Madam Chair, Commissioners. I'm Jennifer Mattox. I'm the Science Advisor and Tribal Liaison for

the Commission. And I'm going to be co-presenting with Shahed Meshkati and she is the planning and development manager in the Mineral Resources Management Division, which hopefully will get a new name sometime, because it feels weird saying that when we're not talking about minerals.

We're going to be co-presenting to you today and overview of an initiative that our staff has begun working on to evaluate our State lands for their renewable energy development potential. I'll get started with sort of our overall climate picture and State context. And then Shahed will actually get to sort of, you know, what I would call like the nutritious part of this presentation, and share the preliminary steps that her team has conducted.

--000--

SCIENCE POLICY ADVISOR MATTOX: So I'll start with a gratuitous shout-out to our excellent State leadership who believes in this, and under whose inspiration we work.

--000--

SCIENCE POLICY ADVISOR MATTOX: So in terms of where we're at, I pulled these slides from the IPCC fifth assessment report. On our current trajectory, things aren't going to go super well for humanity, so we know we

need to do something.

California, as is normal for our State, has been at the forefront of seeking solutions and getting people to think about this, both at the law-making level and just really internalize culturally, and our citizens have stepped up.

We've passed a number of laws, and then subsequently strengthened them that put hard targets on greenhouse gas emission reduction, and also what percent of renewable energy needs to be procured and sold to market.

What I didn't put up there is the current -- currently moving through are -- the halls of our State House is 100 percent clean renewable energy by 2046.

--000--

SCIENCE POLICY ADVISOR MATTOX: This is not unfamiliar territory to State Lands Commission staff. One of the nice things about us is that we're always evolving to meet the challenges of a changing world. We're not just oil and gas. We know that innovations will always present themselves help us be cleaner and smarter.

We've embodied this inquisitive spirit over the years in many ways through resolutions, the environmental responsible development of school lands in 2008, and then recently a 2013 report that was actually peer reviewed by

other State experts on how we should start thinking about offshore marine renewable energy. It's a new technology. It's unfamiliar. It's scary to a lot of resource managers. So we wanted to actually start proactively thinking about how do we look at that and evaluate that. And this paper and the concepts in it is actually still sort of lives on conceptually in a lot of the roadmapping that we're doing with our other State and federal partners.

In addition to our internal efforts, we're guided by State level initiatives. We were involved in developing the State's primarily adaptation roadmap, Safeguarding California Plan, and its updates. This is a plan that we are required to update every three years, and our staff is heavily invested in, and involved in this primarily through its coastal and oceans chapters, and a hazard avoidance for new structures, which, you know, is a fancy way of saying coastal armoring.

Through safeguarding, we seek to adhere to and promote implementation of its recommendations and our activities. And this project is part of that. We also participate in the State's -- State Federal Renewable Energy Task Force, which is led primarily on the State and federal side by Energy commission and BOEM, but we participate very heavily as well, both because of the

opportunities of tunnel -- you know, running conduits and things through State water, and also because of the importance in engaging both federally and non-federally recognized tribes, which in the task force and for the Feds -- federally recognized tribes are worked with. But we can bring in that added layer of also engaging with them, partnering with non-federally recognized tribes.

A little screen grab here of the offshore wind gateway, which is a gallery on database. And this is an effort at transparency. So anybody can get on there, create an account, look at these galleries, manipulate data, make their own maps, share maps, do whatever they want. All the data is right there.

--000--

SCIENCE POLICY ADVISOR MATTOX: I just want to give a quick highlight before I hand off to Shahed, to touch on some of the outreach and partnerships. So we have been talking quite extensively to environmental non-profits. This include Audubon, Nature Conservancy, Natural Resources Defense Council, and Environmental Defense Center among others.

Generally speaking, I've gotten really positive feedback on that, and I tried to put just a little bit of the summary of that dialogue up here. They believe and understand that renewable energy is important to reach our

reduction goals, and that the real thing is to do this very deliberate, very thoughtful, directed development approach, where our first -- we're at that first step there. We're at the avoid step.

So as we get farther along in this effort, as we winnow it down, from the big to the less. That's how we look at avoidance first, minimize second, mitigate third. And so the mitigation would come in things like site-specific operations and management practices, best practices, things like that.

In particular, with environmental justice considerations, this is happening in a really fortuitous time with our Environmental Justice Policy group. So Sheri has been able to connect us together, and she's been kind of shuttling some dialogue and comments back and forth. And we'll be setting up a focus meeting with some of those folks. I just wanted to make it real clear that as we embark on that, how important that consideration is and that our staff has already been working on in their preliminary screening, which does not include any specific projects or anything.

This is just screening, screening out, filtering down our lands, that we want to look for not only impacts, which, you know, is what you traditionally think of, sort of how does this negatively impact. But this is a great

opportunity for us to use our lands to look at opportunities, opportunities for local generation, regional generation, community choice aggregates.

And then thirdly, I would not be doing a good job in my job at all if I didn't include a conversation with the tribes in this. We want to engage them, include all their tribal ecological knowledge and archaeological knowledge, so that we avoid environmental and culturally sensitive areas, where we possibly can. And we'll identify some joint commission and tribal renewable energy opportunities potentially. Now, you may remember with the Tule Wind Project, even though there was only seven turbines on our land, that was part of a larger project that included lands that went across the Ewiiaapaayp Reservation and created a lot of economic opportunity for that tribe.

So that's all I have. And I appreciate the indulgence in a little bit of an expanded introduction. But I thank you very much and off to Shahed.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Great. Thank you, Jennifer.

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: Thanks, Jennifer. Good afternoon, Commissioners. I'm part of Mineral Resources Management Division. And our group is a group of engineers and geologists. And we typically oversee the leasing and safe operation of oil, gas,

geothermal, and mineral extraction. However, starting in 2016, we started also looking at evaluating renewable energy potential on lands under the Commission's jurisdiction.

In addition to the statutes and regulations that Jennifer mentioned, there are other factors affecting renewable energy development in California, which are listed here on the right. As an example, the incentives for wind and solar make the investment much more attractive, especially considering the price drop in solar energy development.

Most importantly, however, our strategic plan has placed a major focus on assessing State Lands for their renewable energy potential, and -- which is the major reason for us undertaking this study.

The map on the left indicates the school lands parcel throughout California, fee parcels are identified in the red, a hundred percent reserve minerals are green, and 1/16th RMIs are blue.

--000--

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: This slide describes the process that we went through to accomplish this initiative. Our first step was to identify the various types of resources we need to evaluate. For all fee school lands, we focused on wind,

solar, biomass and geothermal. For 100 percent RMI, we can only examine the geothermal potential, because we only have the mineral right.

For offshore, we focused on wind and wave potential within the three nautical mile boundary, with the understanding that this is the first stage of our analysis, which focuses on potential only, with some consideration for obvious restrictions where there is a lot more work that we need to do.

After narrowing down the resource types, the group then conducted a comprehensive literature survey.

Early in 2017, we developed the first draft of our science-based criteria documents. Going forward, you will hear me talk and use the term "screening criteria", which refer to the set of standards that are used to select high-potential areas for each kind of renewable resource.

--000--

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: Our analysis evaluated our parcels in one county at a time. We used geographic information system, or GIS, as the tool for our evaluation. The advantage of using GIS is that everything you see is in real space. The example maps shown here wind on the top, and solar on the bottom show resource potential information as shapes that we overlaid on maps showing our parcels.

We found out that the most reliable source of data is the National Renewable Energy Lab, or NREL, which is the U.S. Department of Energy's primary source of renewable energy research.

NREL has collected extensive GIS data for all renewable energy technologies and provides access to this repository on its website.

Moreover, GIS layers are available for a variety of sources identifying roads, transmission lines, and substations that we also included in our study.

--000--

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: The last bullet on the previous page referred to data from NOAA, Fish and Wildlife, and some other organizations providing environmental related data that are just as important to our analysis as the actual potential.

Our goal is -- in this study is to ensure we conduct a 360 degree review of each land parcel or segment offshore. Our screening criteria excludes areas that are -- have a special designation, such as BLM Wilderness, National Parks Service, and Marine Protected Areas, and many, many more.

I have provided some sample restrictions here on this map both for -- on this slide both for offshore and onshore.

--000--

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: In this slide, you will see two summary tables highlighting our parcel evaluation so far. The table on the top indicates the work completed on land. You will notice that the potential appears quite significant in many counties, especially for solar and wind.

The table on the bottom shows the result of our offshore review which faces many more levels of screening, but nevertheless indicates some potential.

--000--

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: Now, I would like to provide you with a short review for each resource type. We start with land-based wind. This slide identifies three high potential parcels in Kern County. The selected parcels meet all the required screening criteria for wind-power installation. All assessment work that NREL has done is based on the wind speed at 80 meters above ground. So when, for example, we refer to wind speed greater than or equal five meters per second, it is measured at 80 meters above ground.

On the right, you will see the wind screening criteria. As you can see in addition to strong wind speeds, road, transmission line access, land slope, and distance to urban areas are all important factors.

Our study indicates that wind potential is high in school lands within Inyo and Imperial counties. It is important to mention that the right economic factors can bypass many of these criteria, and we're aware of those. As when there is enough funding obviously roads can be built, transmission lines can be built, and substations also. So we're aware of that. However, we're just assessing going through the first cri -- first round of evaluations.

--000--

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: This one. Now, we're talking about the solar photovoltaic, or PV, potential evaluation on school lands. The screening criteria for soar development is listed on the left side of this slide. For example, for a solar plant development, we require relatively flat land with slope less than four degrees.

As you can see in the map on the right, the Commission school lands parcels overlap the areas with high solar and radiance-using NREL maps. There are specially high-generation capabilities on lands in Imperial and Riverside County.

The potential generation capacity of 2500 gigawatt hours of power annually here in Riverside County, which is equivalent to providing power to 357,000 homes

approximately.

--000--

3 4

_ |

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: Next, we move on to geothermal evaluation. Geothermal thermal leasing and evaluation has always been part of the work that we do in our Division. In 2015, however, we started reviewing our school land parcels by overlaying them over the known geothermal resource areas or KGRAs.

These are areas that are marked by purple on the maps on the left. And those have been identified by U.S. Geologic Survey as having high geothermal potential. A detailed map of the Salton Sea KGRA is shown on the bottom left.

Later in 2016, we also reviewed the resource maps developed by NREL as well as Southern Methodist University each assessing geothermal potential at a certain depth.

Enhanced Geothermal System, or EGS, in the middle map, which is developed by Southern Methodist University shows the potential thousands of feet underground.

Geothermal potential also is extremely high in many of Commission's school land parcels. However, the incentives for solar and wind have made geothermal development not quite as competitive.

--000--

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: Biomass

energy is the next renewable energy. And that we will review today. Biomass is energy that can be generated from any source of organic materials, such as municipal waste, agricultural by-products, landfill material, and forest residues.

Our study focused on woody biomass, which is based on using forest waste, as well as landfill construction, and other woody material. The Picture in the middle shows the various sources of wood used as feedstock in the Desert View Plant in Riverside County, which we visited in November of last year.

This visit helped us better understand the challenges that this industry faces as well as important factors affecting building biomass plants. The map on the right shows areas with potential in Kern Country.

--000--

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: Now, we move offshore, and assessing offshore energy potential. The first resource presented here is wave energy. Both offshore wind and wave are much more dependable and persistent, and provide a constant energy source. But within the three nautical mile boundary offshore, there are significant environmental restrictions, technological view viewed issues that of course need to be dressed.

We also realize that wave energy's technology is

1 | relatively behind compared to solar and wind.

Nevertheless, our initial focus has been strictly on assessing where the resources have the most potential in the three nautical mile boundary.

As shown in this slide, the study identified -well, not in this slide, but in slide 11, the one that I
had two tables, they identified 11 areas within Del Norte
Mendocino, and Humboldt counties, which have enough wave
potential for any future consideration.

--000--

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: The last resource to study is offshore wind. In this slide, the green line identifies the State's three nautical mile boundary. Using NREL's map areas with high potential for offshore wind have been identified.

The screening criteria for this resource are wind class larger than five, short distance to substation and ocean depth, which plays a role in designing the kind of technology that can be used.

You can see in this slide how busy California ocean really is, as the areas in light blue show excluded areas, such as shipping lanes, kelp forest, Marine Protected -- Protection Area -- protected areas and many more.

Overall, this study identified 19 areas where

there is potential for offshore wind development within State waters.

--000--

2.4

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI:

Finally, I would like to point out to the next step in this effort.

We will continue to assess the potential in the remaining parcels while we also develop our internal process for marketing and leasing these high potential areas. We will contact the developers as well as the environmental groups to better understand their various strategies and understand the development strategies as well. Specifically, onshore wind, we need to better understand important issues affecting the grid, pricing, and competition.

So, in summary, we have a lot more work to do.

Nevertheless, we are excited about sharing our work with
you, and getting further direction from you on how to move
forward.

This concludes my presentation. And Jennifer and I, we'll be happy to answer any questions you have.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you very much for that presentation.

Commissioner comments.

Commissioner Newsom, please.

77

```
COMMISSION NEWSOM: Love it, all of it.
1
 2
             (Laughter.)
 3
             COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: -- and particularly the
 4
    last slide, and that's what I wanted to focus on. So next
5
    steps, and how we working, collaborating with -- well,
6
    first of all, you talked -- the first -- there were three
7
    sort of sections in the next steps, one was marketing and
8
    organizing. Your frame -- how far along are we on that?
9
             PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI:
10
   you like to -- no, no, go ahead. We can -- we can -- and
11
    I can add on, if needed. Go ahead.
12
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Okay. Great. Well,
13
    we are -- we're not that far along with that. Our next
14
    steps are to start --
15
             COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Sorry, Jennifer --
16
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: -- the marketing.
17
             COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: So all this was just --we
18
    just put this all together?
19
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yes.
20
             COMMISSION NEWSOM: So this Isn't -- I mean, this
21
    is really -- all this true is -- this is not all --
22
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yes, over the
    last --
23
24
             COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: We haven't had -- this has
25
   not been stale data that we just updated. This is not
```

just an update on something --

2.4

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Right.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: -- perfunctorily we do every few years?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Just a little bit of context. Historically and traditionally, we have been a Commission staff that have been very reactive to entities, developers, interested folks submitting applications, and we react to that --

COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: React to that.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: -- as opposed to really taking ownership of our lands, and our resources, and their potential and getting to know those lands and resources, and then going out and being more -- being more proactive in our management and our marketing.

So through the mechanism, and the direction, and the leadership of our strategic plan, we've been working over the past year and a half on this, which is really the first step in getting to know our lands and resources.

And as Shahed and Jennifer talked about, this kind of --we're going to hit a couple of parallel tracks here.

We're going to continue to evaluate and get to know our lands and resources. We still have a lot more research to do.

In parallel to that, we have a lot of outreach to

continue doing. Jennifer highlighted environmental organizations, environmental justice groups, and our tribal nations. Shahed talked about industry. That's a huge next step in understanding what they're seeing as trends, and how we can catch up to that and position ourselves to take advantage of that.

In addition, we have a lot more work to do with local governments and local communities, and what their needs are in relation to the lands and resources we hold.

And then the third parallel track is how we transition to again that marketing stage, that proactive management, getting ourselves out there, because especially for our school lands, and where really there's a huge amount of potential that we could be leveraging and taking advantage of, those revenues go into CalSTRS. And so we take that responsibility and that fiduciary trust responsibility very seriously. And we need to do more to generate the revenues there.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: So in -- you know, not belaboring this point, I'm wildly enthusiastic about this. And it's so long over -- I'm so encouraged by this, and I'm encouraged because we -- in a perverse way, that we haven't done it, and yet we are still excelling. And that shows the opportunities moving forward. And, of course, with the audacity of our stated goals, then, you know,

8 0

```
we're going to need to significantly step up our efforts.
```

So, you know, if there was any direction - it's hardly surprising - is enthusiastically pursuing this strategy with vigor, and seeing how we can magnify your leadership at the State Lands level and coordinate and collaboration with other agencies, and then begin at scale a broader marketing effort into the NGO private sector after we do all of the appropriate diligence as you've described.

But now this is just that scale something so opportunistic for us moving forward anyway. You got my head spinning of ideas, but I am six months ahead of myself.

14 (Laughter.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

23

COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: You can read between the lines.

17 (Laughter.)

18 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: That's
19 great. We love to hear that.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: But you know, if not, you know, I'll be in the audience applauding you in seven months.

(Laughter.)

24 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: If I
25 may just add another comment. Just by starting to share

the work we've done with various groups, for example, a couple of months ago we presented at an off-shore wind summit. We've started getting a lot of interest from various developers that are calling us, and coming to us, and saying I'm interested. Can I -- and we always direct them obviously to conduct their own potential evaluation. But they've started just contacting us. And so we're hoping that we'll do more of that.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Very good.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you. Thank you,

Commissioner Newsom

Collision Wong?

ACTING COMMISSIONER WONG-HERNANDEZ: I think this is great. Thank you for the presentation, and for the work you've been doing. I did have a suggestion about some collaboration opportunities.

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: Sure.

ACTING COMMISSIONER WONG-HERNANDEZ: So specifically in the biomass space, the Sierra Nevada Conservancy, and probably the other conservities -- conservancies as well, but they've specifically been working a lot in biomass, and they've been doing interesting work there, and have a lot of experience with diverse communities, local communities, working with tribes, and working with industry, kind of in their space.

They're meeting in June in Inyo County, and this is one of those topics.

So please reach out to kind of sister conservancies and other folks within Resources Agency.

They may be just a good resource or thought partner, as we kind of go down this.

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI:

Absolutely. Thank you for that suggestion.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Great. Thank you. Thank you for the presentation. This is actually really exciting work. And particularly because it will become very forward thinking very quickly, particularly with the renewable energy markets continuing to be in flux. And I think if there's any direction, I would say probably engage the industry earlier rather than later, so that we have their voice with respect to with they're seeing in the marketplace, certainly with respect to pricing and regulatory hurdles potentially down the road as well.

But -- and then obviously, the issues around what our antiquated grid at the State level can accommodate is always kind of a question, so -- but I see this as really being a model for how we can move forward relative to this whole energy transition. I mean, it's, I think, a microcosm of what we're going to be tackling with on a more global scale.

And particularly with the environmental justice voices that we heard today, you know, there's a real global focus on, you know, just transition, you know, with respect to how we're not going to be leaving communities behind as we look at the energy transition.

So I just really want to applaud you for all of the outreach so far. But I think, if there's anything, probably engaging industry earlier rather than later.

Any other comments?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: And I just want to add one small -- and this is getting into the weeds just a tad, but I think it's worth mentioning. The team that Shahed is leading in our Mineral Resources Management Division, they're primarily made up of engineers and professionals that have built their career on oil and gas development and production.

And I really want to shout-out to that team in particular for their really like open-arm warm embrace to this transition. And not that it's not expected, but it's also just a great reminder of, you know, the future that we have ahead of us, and the willingness of our staff to evolve and to move forward with changing energy needs, and demands.

So thank you. I just wanted to make that shout-out real quick.

1 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Great. Thank you.

Yes, please.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: And forgive me, but just it was interesting your comment. So first of all, I'll love that. I mean it's such a great proof point and such an anecdote for this moment this hinge moment in so many respects from a renewable perspective. But we were just visiting -- full disclosure, we were just down at a geothermal plant. And it was interesting you made a comment about the competitiveness now vis-à-vis solar, and ironically solar now outcompeting, in many respects, the geothermal space.

That said, it was fascinating, you know, on our tour to learn about the mineral aspects of geothermal that are also becoming prospect for prosperity of sorts, meaning lithium and other by-products of that process, that can also be mined and sourced. And so I hope with the appreciation of where solar is going and geothermal, and those fixed costs, I hope we are as still as eager in parallel to invest in our geothermal opportunities, because we're sitting on Iceland a few miles to the south of us, and we want to make sure we pursue that aggressively. It looks like you agree and -
SCIENCE POLICY ADVISOR MATTOX: We do.

(Laughter.)

COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: -- you didn't need to hear that.

2.4

SCIENCE POLICY ADVISOR MATTOX: Oh, we love hearing it from you. We've been to, you know, me not as closely obviously, but a number of workshops, conferences about sort of geothermal roadmaps, geothermal permitting roadmaps, and open -- and sort of open data sources, and just some of the challenges that geothermal faces. And just on a personal professional level, that's been somewhat frustrating to me in the role of being the person who looks at the environment, environmental protection.

And geothermal is one of those ones that gives you the best of both worlds. It' got a very, very small surface footprint. You can often pair the surface footprint of the geothermal facility with protected wildlife areas. In fact, there is an example down here of where we own the mineral resource underneath a wildlife area, owned and managed by the Department of Fish and Wildlife.

So these -- you know, just -- if I could just have the luxury of making that comment. It sure would be nice if the permitting pathway for geothermal wasn't a 10-year and very, very, very expensive pathway.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Thank you. Good. Good.
PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: One

comment.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Sorry to open it up, guys. Apologies.

(Laughter.)

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: And I also want to mention the fact that geothermal energy is continuous.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Right. Right.

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT MANAGER MESHKATI: You do not only have access to it when the sun is out or when the wind is blowing. So that definitely makes geothermal and we do have a lot of potential within our State Lands. So we're hoping that they can also progress the same way as the others.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOM: Hear, hear. Great. Great. Great.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you very much. Okay. A lot of passion for this. Rightfully so.

All right. Our next item I believe is public comment, and we do have a number of speakers. But before I call them up, I want to introduce in the audience Consuelo Arias on behalf -- representing Senator Jeff Stone -- State Senator Jeff Stone. Thank you for being here. I just wanted to introduce you for those in the audience to know that you are a district representative

and certainly can be helpful with any constituent matters
relative to this area. And thank you for hosting us today
in your district.

So we have, in terms of public comment, a number of folks. Let me just call you up in the order that you have signed up.

So we have Donna Chabán-Delmas, if you'll come forward, as well as T. Santora.

Commissioner?

And Ted Humphries, if the three of you will come forward.

Actually, Let me have Donna and T. Santora -- Commissioner Santora come forward first.

MR. SANTORA: I'm not Donna, but I'm not sure if she's here.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: That's okay. Why don't you proceed. I don't see here.

MR. SANTORA: Good afternoon, Commissioners.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Good afternoon.

MS. SANTORA: My name is T. Santora. I'm a resident of the City of Palm Springs. I'm also here in the capacity of Commissioner for the Sustainability Commission for the City of Palm Springs. Some of you may be more familiar with me with my day job. So full disclosure, I am the Chairman of the Southern California

Council of the Communications Workers of America.

So first of all, I just want to say thank you for being here. I understand this is a 80-year lapse --

(Laughter.)

MR. SANTORA: -- which our Mayor pointed out. So hopefully you'll be back soon. And I really want to say, I hope you enjoyed our opportunity at the Salton Sea today. So just a couple of comments. One is and -- we did not find out about the meeting until late last night. So I don't have any prepared remarks, but I do want to commend you for your staff report 103. I think it's very significant, and it's really important, and your outreach is really appreciated.

So as a Commissioner here in the city, I would obviously recommend that you reach out to other similar commissions. One of the things that we have here in Palm Springs is this beautiful weather. You might have experienced some of the gusty conditions here. You see obviously our windmills and whatnot.

But the danger that is being posed at the Salton Sea, and the lack of action there, affects us all here in the Coachella Valley. So there's not a lot we can do directly, but collaboratively, we can. And I think that you have an opportunity to be a convening body for the whole valley.

So I really appreciate you being here and continuing to focus on what's going on with the Salton Sea, and it's really important to all of us here, so thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Commissioner, very much.

Okay. Is Ms. Chabán-Delmas in the audience?
Donna?

Okay. She may have left. So let me now call up Ted[SIC] Humphries and Alison Madden.

MR. HUMPRHIES: Yeah. So my name is Jed Humphries. It's not Ted. Jed. It's pretty close.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Oh, I'm sorry. Jed. Okay.
Thank you.

MR. HUMPHRIES: So I came here to the State Lands Commission last time when it was up in Oakland.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Yes.

MR. HUMPHRIES: And I was directed that the resources of the State Lands would be, you know, like directed, hey, you know, to find a solution to something to Docktown. I'm sure you guys are aware we're being driven into homelessness there.

And so we currently have a lawsuit going. And the city has got a stay, and that they're not pushing us out until the lawsuit is completed. And so I spoke

briefly with the clerk that I was directed to. And we came up with what I thought was pretty decent game plan, which is just finding a mooring ball situation, where, you know -- you know, we could drop a mooring ball and at least there would be a place to go and move the boat to.

Its extremely difficult to find a slip dockage for vessels coming out of Docktown, because we did sue the harbor master, if you will, the city, for throwing us out. And so other harbor masters don't want residents there who would take legal action should they be like -- you know, should their lease be terminated or something like that. So it's excessively difficult for me to find anywhere else to live to move my home to.

And so the mooring ball idea was just and idea that, hey, there -- you know, you could drop a mooring ball. These things are normal. They're -- you know, there's over 600 boats out of 1,100 mooring balls in Newport Harbor in California that are being used as private residences, secondary usage for pleasure craft. There's lot of other cities that permit liveaboards that, you know, give free rein to do whatever you want like in Avalon or Two Harbors that if you live there, then you live there.

And -- but then I was told that it would be inappropriate by that same clerk to give me any help later

on, about a week later. And I'm struggling. I don't know why. You know, I thought that the resources of this council would be directed towards, you know, ameliorating some of the damage that's being done of being thrown out of my home.

I would yield back my time as any kind of, you know, explanation or something.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Humphries. Yes, Ms. Lucchesi.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: I'm happy to respond. I can't talk specifically about when you talk about a clerk, if it's somebody on our staff.

MR. HUMPRHIES: I'd prefer not to do public denunciations.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: No, no, no, that's -- that's okay. I'm just -- we're happy to talk with you off-line and talk about different options.

Although, we are not experts in the number and the capacity of marinas within San Francisco Bay, or elsewhere within the State. And I think just generally speaking, the best option is to continue to contact those marinas to see if they have a slip, or a mooring, or any other facility for your use.

MR. HUMPRHIES: So I brought a boat from Pier 39 to Redwood City to the municipal marina. I informed them

that I wished to have docking. And they told me that I had to go through a process that was different from all the other residents of Redwood City, because I was coming out of Docktown. It was much harsher, much hard -- much more difficult selection. And I was -- you know, the harbor master came out and he told me that he didn't like the way that my mainsail cover was going over my mast.

You know, like these people they have all the cards. They're the landlord, you know. And if they don't -- I get -- because I actually own land as well. I get that like if there's a resident who's suing and they're taking over the land --

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: I'm -- you know, I am -- we are happy to continue to talk with you and brainstorm. Just we have to be very clear about what the State Lands Commission's authority and jurisdiction is.

MR. HUMPHRIES: Sure.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: And we do not have specific management or leasing jurisdiction over many of the locations that you're talking about, but we're happy to talk with you off-line and talk through, and listen to the challenges that you're having and brainstorm.

MR. HUMPRHIES: I'm more than happy to find a place, you know, and work with whatever municipality or jurisdiction. But when I'm told by the clerk that it's

inappropriate to give me any kind of assistance. It goes directly against your words --

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Mr. Humphries, we're -- let me just reiterate Ms. Lucchesi's offer. We're happy to continue to have the dialogue with you. We are limited with respect to our authority and jurisdiction, but we will do our best to point you and guide you in the right direction. Certainly really appreciate the frustration that you're under, but we will continue this off-line with you.

MR. HUMPRHIES: Speak with you later. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you.

Okay. Alison madden, are you in the audience. Yes. Good afternoon.

MS. MADDEN: Good afternoon. Thank you. It's been such an interesting meeting every single agenda item. The work you do is fantastic. And I wanted to say that at the last meeting, Dan Slanker, who's the president of the Redwood Creek Association came and spoke, and gave an update. And on that day, I was filing an appellate writ. And about one to five percent of them are granted. And the court did grant the writ. And so we do have briefing. And there is a stay on -- at Docktown right now. It's in determinant. It's open-ended. So we will keep you updated on that.

And hopefully -- it's about jurisdiction. It's actually that the city charter says the port department was supposed to be the proper body all along. And so if we win, everything gets thrown out the window. And so we kind of start all over. But if we lose, you know, of course we'll bound by that, so we will keep you apprised.

And I also wanted to say just very quickly two things. It was the City of Redwood City in this jurisdictional suit that actually asked the court to order me to serve the State Lands Commission and bring you into the lawsuit as a necessary party. And I've been putting that off and dragging my feet and brought the writ instead, because that's not what I want to do.

And if they felt you were a necessary party, I wish they would have brought you into the Hannig lawsuit and participated. And actually the CEQA judge said that they gave the Attorney General notice, and you didn't intervene, so she didn't think you were a necessary party.

So there are three lawsuits, one having to do with jurisdiction, one CEQA, and one is a class action for relocation. And that there's a potential for a stay in all of those. And, in fact, the issue of whether residential use to some degree is permitted under the Public Trust is actually an issue in all three of them. So we'll definitely keep you apprised there as well.

What we did do in the meantime was meet with Senator Hill's aids and Kevin Mullin's aids. And we had a two-hour conversation and said, you know, we just want to keep the conversation going. We're having city council elections in the fall. There may very well be a turnover and a changeover. The mayor is not running again and some others aren't running again. And some affordable housing advocates are running. And so there may be a different approach -- a different desired approach at legislation. And I know that you guys authorized looking into it.

And I just want to say this conversation sometimes takes months and years. And I think it was the Hannig lawsuit that put it on a fast track and not the State Lands Commission. And so we wanted to keep that open. And we even noted in 2020, or some day, maybe Jerry Hill be on this Panel. You know, maybe he'll run for Lieutenant Governor.

(Laughter.)

But -- so to have these conversations keep going with staff is very important, both with your staff, and

MS. MADDEN: And I would certainly wish him well.

with the staff of the two, you know, legislators that deal

23 | with this area.

And, let's see. Oh, the one thing I wanted to say is I know that the Commission actually did have, you

know, a public hearing and a vote in 2001 in general on the policy and doctrine of the Public Trust. But I think that so far there hasn't been an actual public hearing process and a Commission vote on liveaboards, to what extent they're consistent with and even advance the Public Trust in some ways. And maybe the two to four percent allowance for safety and security, and how that goes with BCDC allowing 10 percent and that kind of thing, I'd really like to have a public hearing process and a fact-finding process and a bit more discussion.

So with that said, I would also like to say that there is a development on the land at Docktown. And it's the Strata Development Company with a really good developer. And what we did, we actually went to the Planning Commission and said we love this development, as far as we're concerned. And they have told us we're okay with you being there. So this is definitely driven by that lawsuit by the settlement by a group of people on the council that may turnover. And we're even on the agenda for discussing litigation settlement next Monday.

So there's a lot of things that might change, and we just wanted to keep you apprised. Suing you is the last thing I want to do. And if it happen -- if it comes to pass, it would be because of the court's order.

And if I could leave you with this, not for

consideration today, but it is the email that we sent, Dan and I sent, to Joan and Ben at the Legislator's offices.

There's Docktown Forever, and the case for grandfathering Docktown and then our cover letter.

And very specifically, there's one ease way out, which is the Hannig suit is actually satisfied if there's a change in policy. And it would be so easy to say that it's on a case-by-case basis, or, you know, whether it's two to four percent or 10 percent can be evaluated on a case-by-case basis.

So thank you very much for hearing me.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Ms. Madden, for the updates. Appreciate it.

Okay. Our next public speakers Mr. John Hernandez and Mr. Carlos Pineda.

MR. HERNANDEZ: (Spoke in Spanish.)

Good afternoon. My name is John Hernandez. I'm a resident of Imperial County, only for 68 years. And I've seen quite a bit in those 68 years. And you don't know how important it is for me to be here today to speak to you about some of the concerns, and what I've lived, and what I'm living over these last 68 years.

Yes, there's good things that have happened throughout this country, throughout this community over the last 100 years. But where there's winners, there's

losers. And I'm just working and continue to work to make sure that the losers don't lose this game completely.

We have, yes, richness in our particular part of the country, as you do throughout the northern part. But the Salton Sea by ag's own admission is an agricultural sump, a disaster. It's a dump. And it's being left to dry. And now the population has shifted, where 85 percent are disadvantaged community Latinos Hispanics just like myself, and my offspring, and my family, and my neighbors and extended members still have imaginary border there, are left with the problem, and are telling the State, you fix it.

Well, the State Lands Commission happens to have a major role in this. And I'm glad to hear that the environmental justice part of it is something that impacts us will be addressed, and will be looked at. So I'm glad to hear that part of it. But when developers come into our community, there's always residue that's left behind that somehow is not worked into on the front end to ensure that these negative impacts will be left to the detriment of the community.

And here we are a hundred years later with a problem that we don't know who's going to fix. So our inner cities, our communities who have shifted are dying because we don't have a tax base. The cities around the

sea are dying because they don't have a tax base. But yet, the minerals are worth a lot of money, the produce is worth a lot of money, the fruits and vegetables that are coming out of our community are worth a lot of money, but we're left with insecticides, pesticides, herbicides, DDT residue and all these other things that the State Lands Commission really needs to work it into their plans, and find a creative way to assist our communities with the jurisdiction that you have from the lands to ensure that there is something left behind.

Now, they're talking about bringing water from Mexico into California and from the border to the sea is your jurisdiction, if there's anything going to go through State Lands. So I hope that you start looking at this and talking to Natural Resources to see how that's going to impact you.

And also, there's a place called The Slabs. Have any of you been out there?

So you know what I'm talking about there. I don't need to get into that, but that's been going on for all my lifetime, and look the other way. But we're not going to look the other way. And I -- I'm glad to see that you come out to where you can see what's going on. And it's just not one side. It's not just these great things happening in development in the geothermal. Come

to our neighborhoods too, come to the -- to where we're living and we're struggling on a day-to-day basis, where our -- where the emergency room asthma rates are going through the roof, where our hypertension, our -- you know I can just go on, but I'm not.

But I really appreciate the opportunity to be here before you and say, you know what, you need to come down and get closer to us. I'm glad that somebody gave me a ride up here or else I would have never came, but I know the need to be heard. And I know the need for you to come out of that dome, and come over here to my home.

And I thank you and good luck. Good luck, Mr. Newsom.

Good luck, Commissioners.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Mr. Hernandez for taking the time to address us.

Mr. Pineda, Carlos.

MR. PINEDA: Good afternoon, everyone. I just want to know -- want to let you know that this is -- I'm a bit nervous because I'm -- the first time I'm actually experiencing this type of meeting.

So -- but I want to start by saying that I don't want to consider myself an activist, although I am, because I speak on behalf of a lot of issues. And I don't want to say I'm an environmentalist, because I'm not

really an active environmentalist. But what I come here today is to speak on behalf of our water supply here in the desert.

There's a lot of concerns. I'm here to talk about the concern personally I have in regards the water supply in the desert, where they constantly want to come here and drill water from our deserts. And I want to know if there's anything legislatively in place to protect our waters, because from all that I've seen in -- from like -- like, for instance, I just want to bring that as an example for like the water that is being taken from the San Bernardino mountains. You know, a lot of it has been taken away. And, you know, it kind of bothers me in a way the fact that they're allowed to extract water, and yet turn around and pretty much sell it to everyone for profit. So that's my main concern.

I have a lot of friends that live out here, like by the Joshua Tree. And I guess where I'm getting at is there's a lot of -- this organization that wants to continue trying to get water supply from wherever. And so that's -- that's my main issue.

And the only other thing that I want to say is Mr. Newsom, I want to take this opportunity to thank you, coming from me, for standing up for all of us, standing up and speaking up on a lot of issues to this, you know,

current administration.

And just I want to voice also my opinion that this is my first opportunity that I became a citizen in December.

And I want to thank you for also standing up for the LGBT community. I wanted to personally thank you because I've been following you for a long time. And I've never thought that it was very important for me to become a citizen, even though I was eligible to become one.

But, you got my vote. So thank you.

(Laughter.)

MR. PINEDA: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON YEE: Thank you, Mr. Pineda for coming forward, and hope you continue to be a voice in front a lot of these public proceedings going forward. Thank you.

Okay. Any other members of the audience who wish to speak and address the Commission?

Seeing none. Comments from Commissioners?

I just want to thank the staff for the work in putting this meeting together. It's just really meaningful to be here in this part of the State. And believe me, there will not be another 80 year lapse, if I have anything to do with it.

(Laughter.)

103

1 CHAIRPERSON YEE: But, I mean, you look at all of the interests that have converged just even in this single 2 3 meeting of the Commission. And you begin to realize that 4 this Commission especially has every opportunity to be 5 staking some ground in terms of how we, you know, really 6 try to approach a lot of these issues that are plaguing us 7 That the community involvement I think can only grow from what we've seen today. So I really 8 9 appreciate all the members of the community being here. 10 And again, I want to thank the City of Palm Springs for 11 hosting us today. I think with that, Ms. Lucchesi, what's our next 12 13 item? 14 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: We'll adjourn into 15 closed session. 16 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Any other comments from 17 the Commissioners before we do so? 18 Okay. Great. Thank you. All right are we going 19 to stay here for closed session? 20 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yes. 21 CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Let me ask members of 22 the public if you will vacate the auditorium so that we 23 may meet in closed session.

2.4

25

Thank you.

(Off record: 3:10 p.m.)

```
1
             (Thereupon the meeting recessed into
 2
             closed session.)
 3
             (Thereupon the meeting reconvened
 4
             open session.)
             (On record: 3:24 p.m.)
5
6
             CHAIRPERSON YEE: Okay. Great.
                                               Thank you.
                                                            Wе
7
    are reconvened in open session.
8
             The Commission met in closed session to discuss
9
    pending litigation and other matters as listed pursuant to
10
    the closed session portion of the agenda.
11
             And any other items before -- to come before the
12
    Commission?
13
             Okay. Hearing none.
14
             With that, we are adjourned.
15
             Thank you very much.
             (Thereupon the California State Lands
16
17
             Commission meeting adjourned at 3:25 p.m.)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, JAMES F. PETERS, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

That I am a disinterested person herein; that the foregoing California State Lands Commission Skype meeting was reported in shorthand by me, James F. Peters, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California;

That the said Skype proceedings was taken before me, in shorthand writing, and was thereafter transcribed to the best of my ability with intermittent Skype connection, under my direction, by computer-assisted transcription.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said meeting nor in any way interested in the outcome of said meeting.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 2nd day of May, 2018.

James & Cath

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR

Certified Shorthand Reporter

License No. 10063